IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DIVISION OF ST. (
MOHAMMED HAMED by His Authorized Agent WALEED HAMED,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)))
VS.) Case No. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,))
Defendants/Counterclaimants,)
vs.))
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,)))
Additional Counterclaim Defendants.) _)

THE VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) ORAL DEPOSITION OF UNITED CORPORATION through its representative, MAHER "MIKE" YUSUF, was taken on the 3rd day of April, 2014, at the Law Offices of Adam Hoover, 2006 Eastern Suburb, Christiansted, St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands, between the hours of 10:07 a.m. and 2:42 p.m., pursuant to Notice and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Reported by:

Cheryl L. Haase
Registered Professional Reporter
Caribbean Scribes, Inc.
2132 Company Street, Suite 3
Christiansted, St. Croix U.S.V.I.
(340) 773-8161

APPEARANCES

```
1
                          A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
 2
 3
      For the Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant:
 4
      Law Offices of
 5
      Joel H. Holt
      2132 Company Street
 6
      Suite 2
      Christiansted, St. Croix
 7
      U.S. Virgin Islands 00820
 8
      By: Joel H. Holt
 9
      and
      Law Offices of
10
      Carl Hartmann, III
11
      5000 Estate Coakley Bay, #L6
      Christiansted, U.S. Virgin Islands 00820
12
      By: Carl Hartmann, III
13
14
      For the Defendant/Counterclaimants
15
      Law Offices of
      Dudley, Topper & Feuerzeig
16
      P.O. Box 756
      Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas
17
      U.S. Virgin Islands 00804
18
      By: Gregory H. Hodges
19
20
21
22
2.3
2.4
25
```

APPEARANCES

1	
2	For Fathi Yusuf:
3	Law Offices of
4	K. Glenda Cameron 2006 Eastern Suburb, Suite 101
5	Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820
6	By: K. Glenda Cameron
7	
8	
9	Also Present:
10	Josiah Wynans, Videographer Hatim Yusuf, Interpreter
11	Kim Japinga Waleed Hamed
12	Hisham Hamed Mufeed Hamed
13	Fathi Yusuf
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

INDEX

1			
2		E-X-A-M-I-N-A-T-I-O-N	
3	Description	Counsel	Page
4	Direct	by Mr. Hartmann	7
5		E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S	
6	Exhibit	Description	Page
7	1	Notice of 30(b)(6) Depostion of United Corporation	8
8910	2	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants' Motion to Comply with the Preliminary Injunction	83
11	3	Receipt, Bates No. HAMD594676, dated April 15, 2003	95
12	4	Receipt, Bates No. HAMD594275	95
13 14	5	Receipt, Bates No. HAMD594283 dated January 28, 2012	95
15 16	6	BOIR income tax returns for United Corporation for the years 2002 through 2012	114
17	101	Oral Deposition of Mr. Fathi Yusuf	24
18 19	102	Affidavit of Fathi Yusuf, Bates No. HAMD277512 through HAMD277517	29
20	103	Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories to Defendant United Corporation	36
212223	110	Plaintiff United Corporation's Answers to Defendants' Corrected First Set of Interrogatories, Bates No. HAMD590878 through HAMD590896	18
2425			

INDEX

1			
2	133	Deposition testimony of Maher	105
3		Yusuf, Bates Nos. HAMD261005 through HAMD261157	
4	144	Letter dated August 15th, 2012, Bates No. HAMD200103	53
5	146	Letter dated August 15th, 2012,	54
6		with attachments	
7	147	Document Bates No. HAMD200104, letter dated Thursday, August 16th,	72
8		2012, to Fathi Yusuf from Waleed Hamed	
9	149	Letter dated August 22, 2012 to	73
10		Mr. Mohammad Hamed from Fathi Yusuf, Bates No. HAMD200105	
11	158	Complaint	10
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: In the matter of Mohammad
2	Hamed v. Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation v. Waleed Hamed,
3	Waheed Hamed, Mufeed Hamed, Hisham Hamed, and Plessen
4	Plessen Enterprises, Inc., in the Superior Court of the
5	Virgin Islands, Division of St. Croix, Civil Action
6	No. SX-12-CV-370.
7	My name is Josiah Wynans, and I am the
8	videographer for today's proceedings. Our court reporter is
9	Cheryl Haase. Today's date is April 3rd, 2014. The
10	deponent is United Corporation through Maher Yusuf. The
11	time is 10:07.
12	For the purpose of voice identification, I am
13	requesting that the attorneys present identify themselves at
14	this time.
15	MR. HARTMANN: Carl Hartmann for the
16	plaintiff.
17	MR. HODGES: Greg Hodges for the defendants.
18	MS. CAMERON: Glenda Cameron for the
19	defendants.
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Please swear the witness.
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

1	MAHER "MIKE" YUSUF,
2	Called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,
3	Testified on his oath as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. HARTMANN:
6	Q. Good morning, sir.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. My name is Carl Hartmann. I'm the attorney for
9	the plaintiff in this case. I'll be taking your deposition
10	today. If at any time you don't understand any question I
11	asked, please ask me to stop and repeat it.
12	Will you do that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And remember that because this is being
15	taken by a court reporter, you have to answer yes or no, not
16	uh-huh or yep or things like that. Okay?
17	A. (Witness nods head.)
18	Q. Okay. And do you appear today as a witness for
19	the United Corporation, as their designated representative?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And could you state your full name?
22	A. Maher Fathi Yusuf.
23	Q. And could you spell that for the court reporter?
24	A. M-A-H-E-R; F-A-T-H-I; Y-U-S-U-F.
2.5	

1 (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was 2 marked for identification.) 3 0. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. And did you receive a copy of the document that's been provided to you as 4 5 Deposition Exhibit 1, the third amended notice of taking of a Rule 36 -- 30(b)(6) videotape deposition dated March 4th, 6 7 2014? 8 Α. Yes. Okay. And did you, in preparation for this 9 Ο. 10 deposition, have a chance to review the topics that are set forth, beginning on the fourth page of this, which is Bates 11 12 numbered HAMD597317? 13 Α. Yes, I read through it. 14 Okay. And did you have an opportunity to discuss Ο. 15 the -- the questions and the topics with other persons at the United Corporation to gain evidence for your testimony 16 17 today, and are you prepared to discuss each of the topics set forth in Attachment A? 18 I spoke about it, yes, and I'm prepared to answer 19 Α. 20 the questions. Okay. And by whom were you designated? How were 21 Q. 22 you designated to testify for United Corporation? 2.3 Α. I'm the president of the corporation. 2.4 Okay. So you designated yourself? Q. 25 (Witness shakes head). Α.

1	Q. Were you designated by I'm sorry. I withdraw
2	the last question.
3	Were you designated to testify by the board,
4	or by the president of the corporation?
5	How was it done?
6	A. As the president of the corporation, this is what
7	you call it, 30(b)(6).
8	Q. Okay. So you just made the decision, as the
9	president of the corporation, is that correct?
10	A. No, I am the president of the corporation.
11	Q. Okay. Thank you.
12	If you'd turn to the questions, the topics
13	listed at Page 4 of the deposition notice?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You said that you've had a chance you've had a
16	chance to review the this topic, and this topic deals
17	with a legal action brought by your corporation, does it
18	not?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And could you tell me just, in a sentence
21	or two, what the lawsuit is about?
22	MR. HODGES: The lawsuit, what, in Topic
23	No. 1?
24	MR. HARTMANN: Yes.
25	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

```
1
                     (Deposition Exhibit No. 158 was
 2
                       marked for identification.)
 3
                     MR. HARTMANN: While you're looking at that,
 4
      I'm going to hand you Exhibit 158, which is the complaint in
 5
      that case.
 6
                     MR. HODGES: Is that Exhibit 2 of this
 7
      deposition?
 8
                     MR. HARTMANN: No, it's -- we're just going
      to use the --
 9
10
                     MR. HODGES: Oh, okay.
                     MR. HARTMANN: -- prenumbered ones for
11
12
      anything that's not a unique.
                United against Waleed Hamed.
13
           Α.
14
                (Mr. Hartmann) Okay.
           Ο.
15
           Α.
                It --
16
                It's an action by United Corporation.
           Q.
17
           Α.
                It's action by United Corporation, yes.
                And it's against Waleed Hamed?
18
           Q.
19
           Α.
                Correct.
20
                Okay. And did you -- did you, as the president of
           Q.
      United Corporation, authorize the filing of this action?
21
22
           Α.
                Yes.
23
                And was it filed on or about January 8th, 2013?
           Q.
2.4
           Α.
                Yes.
25
                Now, referring to Exhibit 158, which you've been
           Q.
```

1 handed, would you please look with me at the paragraphs that 2 are designated in Attachment A, the topics of deposition, 3 which are Paragraphs 11 and 14? 4 Have you had a chance to review 11, sir? 5 Α. Yes. Okay. And Paragraph 11, does it not, says, 6 Q. 7 Sometime in 1986, Plaintiff United through its shareholders and then president, Fathi Yusuf, entered into an oral 8 agreement whereby Plaintiff United and Defendant Hamed's 9 10 father, Mohammad Hamed, agreed to operate a grocery store business. 11 12 Does it -- did I correctly read that? 13 Α. That's correct, but --14 Is that a correct statement? Q. 15 Α. No. 16 Okay. Could you explain to me why it isn't? Q. My father, Fathi Yusuf, was not the president. 17 Α. In 19 --18 Q. 19 Α. That's one. In 1986, he was not the president of United 20 Q. Corporation? 21 22 Α. No. 23 Okay. Who was? Q. 2.4 I believe my uncle was. Α. 25 So -- so to the extent that that was Q. Okay.

1 averred before the Court, that is not accurate? 2 Α. Correct. 3 Okay. And it also says that, The party entering into the oral agreement with Mohammad Hamed was not Fat --4 5 was not Fathi Yusuf, but instead United Corporation, is that correct? 6 7 Is that a correct recitation of what it says? Actually, it's Fathi Yusuf that's entered into an 8 Α. agreement with Mohammad Hamed. 9 10 I understand that, but, sir, you see in 11, it Ο. says, Sometime in 1986, Plaintiff United, --11 12 Α. Uh-huh. 13 -- through its shareholder and then president, Ο. entered into an oral agreement. 14 15 Is that a correct statement, that United entered into an agreement with Mr. --16 17 Α. Hamed? 18 Q. Hamed? No, it's not United. 19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. So to the extent that that was averred before the Court, that is also not true, is that correct? 21 22 Α. It's Fathi Yusuf that entered into an agreement 2.3 with Mr. Hamed. 2.4 I understand. My question is, to the extent that Q. 25 was averred before the Court, that's not true?

1	A. No.
2	Q. I'm sorry?
3	A. Yes.
4	That's what you want?
5	Q. Just say it's true or it's not true.
6	A. Not true.
7	Q. Okay. Thank you.
8	And it says that, In entering into this
9	agreement, United acted through its shareholder.
_0	In other words, it says that, in entering
L1	into this agreement, Fathi Yusuf acted for United in
_2	entering into the agreement.
L3	Would that be a correct statement?
L4	A. Yes.
_5	Q. So he entered into it, but just not for United.
-6	Is that what you're saying?
_7	A. Well, United is his is our company, Yusuf
-8	company, so it's an the company he uses to run the
_9	business.
20	Q. Okay. And when you say it's his company and he
21	uses it, is he a shareholder of United?
22	A. He is a shareholder of United, yes.
23	Q. Okay. And has he been a shareholder for United
24	since its inception?
2.5	A. Yes.

1	Q. Okay. And approximately this, by the way,
2	isn't a memory test. If you want to stop at any time and
3	either look at a document or consult with your counsel, you
4	should feel free to do so. Okay?
5	A. Okay.
6	Q. Do you remember approximately when the
7	United Corporation was initially incorporated?
8	A. Yes. From 1979.
9	Q. Okay. And as the president of United Corporation,
10	are you aware of the of the amount of stock owned by each
11	person within United?
12	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
13	Q. Okay. And so when you say Fathi Yusuf uses
14	United, does that mean he and his wife are the majority
15	shareholders in the corporation?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. And what percentage of the approximately
18	what percentage of the stock of the corporation do they own?
19	A. I believe it's 36 percent,
20	Q. Thirty-six?
21	A my mother, and 36 percent, my father.
22	Q. Okay. I'd ask you briefly to turn over to Page 5
23	of the Notice of Deposition, which is Bates numbered
24	HAMD597318, and look down at Topic No. 18.

If you'd review that?

25

1	A. Yes. (Witness reviewing document.)
2	That's incorrect. That's not right.
3	Q. Okay. I'll ask you a couple questions. Right
4	now, I'd just like you to review it.
5	I'm asking you now to review Exhibit numbered
6	111, 111, which has a Bates number in the lower left-hand
7	corner, HAMD203010, and continues through to HAMD203047.
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Do you see that document?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. If you turn in that document to Page 11 of the
12	document? It's Bates numbered HAMD203020, are you on that
13	page?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And I'm going to read a a section
16	briefly from the center paragraph beginning with the word
17	"Even."
18	If you'd follow along with me for a second?
19	A. Sure.
20	Q. Even if the amended complaint sufficiently alleges
21	that a, quote, Hamed and Yusuf partnership, close quotes,
22	exists, comma, the only relief Mohammad Hamed would be
23	entitled to is a 50-percent share of Defendant Yusuf's
24	7.5 percent ownership of Defendant United's outstanding
25	socks stocks.

1 Okay? Do you see that? Α. 2 Uh-huh. Yes. 3 Okay. Now, the document that we've been reading 4 from is a memorandum of law submitted by -- by yourself and 5 Fathi Yusuf, is it not? 6 I believe so, yes. 7 Ο. Yes. And it was filed on -- on November 5th, 2012, 8 in Federal District Court? 9 10 Α. Yeah. Yes. Okay. And so, if I understand the gist of this 11 Ο. 12 paragraph, it says that Mohammad Hamed cannot collect against Fathi Yusuf more than 7.5 percent of the ownership 13 14 of this -- the United Corporation, because he only owns 15 7.5 percent. 16 Is that a correct statement? 17 Α. No, that's incorrect. So the statement made to the Federal District 18 0. Court on 11/5/2012 was not correct, is that --19 20 It's not correct. The percentage there is not Α. right, and then when we -- when you talk about United, 21 22 United have different entities. Okay? The -- the 2.3 supermarkets is the agreement with my father and Mohammad. 2.4 Not United. 25 Can you explain what you mean by that? Q.

A. The agreement with Mr. Hamed and Mr. Yusuf is with the supermarkets only.Q. Okay. And what is that agreement, as you
Q. Okay. And what is that agreement, as you
understand it? As United understands it.
Excuse me. When I say "you" in the context
of this deposition, I'm examining you as United
A. United, correct.
Q Corporation.
Thank you.
A. All right.
Q. What is United's understanding of that agreement?
A. I think my father explained that to you yesterday.
Q. I understand, but you're a different party. I
I just need United to tell me what it thinks the agreement
was. Because the reason I'm asking, just to make it clear,
is because earlier, United submitted a document that said it
entered into the agreement, and you said that isn't correct.
So I'm just trying to figure out what United
really thinks is the agreement. That's all.
A. The agreement to run the supermarkets, Mr. Hamed
and Mr. Yusuf, to run the supermarket, and Mr. Hamed gets
50-percent net profit after all expenses.
Q. Okay. So if in do you know why
United Corporation made the assertion that Mr. Yusuf only

25

owned 7.5 percent?

1	A. That's a mistake. That's a mistake from somebody
2	overlooking.
3	Q. I see.
4	Is it also a mistake to suggest that that
5	Mr. Hamed couldn't collect more than 7.5 percent?
6	A. No, no, that's incorrect.
7	Q. Okay. Good.
8	If you'd return to excuse me one second.
9	I'm going to ask you a couple of more
10	questions about what United understands to be the terms of
11	that agreement.
12	(Deposition Exhibit No. 110 was
13	marked for identification.)
14	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) I'm going to show you a document
15	numbered Exhibit 110, which has in its lower left-hand
16	corner the Bates No. HAMD590878 and continues to HAMD590896.
17	And do you recognize this as Plaintiff
18	United Corporation's Answers to Defendants' Corrected First
19	Set of Interrogatories, filed on or about October 9th, 2013?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And I'd ask you to look more particularly
22	at the Question and Answer No. 2, which is at Page
23	HAMD590882, which is Page 5 of 19 of the actual document.
24	Could you just review that for a second? I
25	don't think you're on the right page.

1	A. Page 2.
2	Q. No.
3	MR. HODGES: Page 5.
4	MR. HARTMANN: It's Page 5. It's Question
5	No. 2.
6	I'm sorry. My fault.
7	MR. HODGES: I would simply note for the
8	record that this is not an item that is on your attachment
9	to your 30(b)(6) notice, a topic.
10	MR. HARTMANN: Actually, one of the topics is
11	all the answers to discovery.
12	MR. HODGES: Not in any case. It, I believe
13	it's focused on the case that's that we're here for
14	today.
15	MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
16	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Have you had a chance to review
17	that?
18	A. (Witness reviewing document.)
19	Yeah.
20	Q. You've had a chance to review it. And the
21	interrogatory question asks United to describe all
22	agreements created by operation law regarding noncompetition
23	or mandatory disclosure of business or financial interest in
24	connection with Hamed's relationship to Fathi Yusuf,
25	Plaza Extra Supermarkets, or United.

1 And I'd like to read the United response, and 2 you can tell me if I correctly read it into the record. 3 Answer to Interrogatory No. 2: business relationship Waheed Hamed had was to 4 5 United Corporation d/b/a Plaza Extra, comma, as an employee at Plaza Extra. The -- the oral agreement with Mohammad 6 7 Hamed was, all efforts of his family and the Yusuf family were devoted -- to be devoted to Plaza Extra. 8 requirement of disclosure of business or financial interests 9 10 was required to ensure that all time and energy of the Yusuf family and Hamed family, comma, were devoted to Plaza Extra. 11 12 Now, is this United's understanding of the 13 agreement, the oral agreement, entered into between 14 Mr. Fathi Yusuf and Mr. Mohammad Hamed in 1986? 15 As far as I know, yes. And so, and to the best of your knowledge, did 16 Q. 17 both the Yusuf family and the Hamed family devote all efforts to Plaza Extra? 18 19 Α. Yes. Now, there's been some testimony about the opening 20 Q. of the Plaza Extra store on St. Thomas, and I'd like to ask 21 22 United a few questions about who was assigned, when it was 2.3 being opened, to work there. 2.4 First of all, were you in the Virgin Islands 25 at the time the St. Thomas store was opened?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And approximately what year was that? 3 Α. Ninety-three. 4 And approximately how long did it take, from the Ο. time United -- let me withdraw that question. 5 6 When did the St. Croix store burn down? 7 Α. Nineteen -- January 1992. 8 Okay. And how long was the time period between Q. that and when the St. Thomas store opened? 9 10 A year and nine months. Α. And from the time -- from the time Plaza Extra 11 Ο. 12 Supermarkets decided to open the St. Thomas store, how long did it take to physically prepare the building, get it 13 14 ready, and to open? 15 Α. That's the St. Thomas store? 16 Q. The St. Thomas store. 17 Α. St. Thomas store. 18 Q. Yeah. 19 Α. I don't recall the -- the length of time. 20 Q. Approximate. Was it six months? I don't --21 Α. 22 Q. Was it a week? 23 Α. I don't recall the time. I don't recall the time of when we were opening it. 2.4 25 Who -- who was assigned from the Plaza Q. Okay.

- Extra Supermarkets to physically go to St. Thomas to prepare the store for opening?
 - A. My father, Waheed Hamed, and Waleed Hamed. And we had Abdul (phonetic) Idheileh, I think, Idheileh.
 - Q. Okay. And -- and during the time that that was happening, there was no St. Croix store opened, is that correct?
 - A. St. Croix store burnt down in '92.
 - Q. Okay. So while you were preparing the St. Thomas store, there was no St. Croix store to generate income, is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.3

2.4

25

Q. And the four, yourself, your father, Wally Hamed, and Mohammad Hamed, worked in preparing that store.

Were you paid by Plaza Extra?

- A. Excuse me. Which store?
- Q. The St. Thomas store?
 - A. I did not say Mohammad Hamed was in St. Thomas.
- Q. Oh, I'm sorry. It was -- I'm sorry. Yourself,
 your father, Wally and who?
- A. I came in a period of time, and I helped out and went back to St. Croix.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I -- I was not fully involved with it.
 - Q. So who was most fully involved with it?

1	A. Like I told you earlier, Mr. Yusuf, my father,
2	Waleed Hamed, Waheed Waleed
3	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, who was that?
4	MR. HARTMANN: Muheed.
5	THE WITNESS: Waheed, Waleed and Idheileh. I
6	don't know his last name. I forgot.
7	MR. HARTMANN: That's fine. And during
8	that time, were were you, your father and the two Hameds
9	being paid from Plaza Extra Supermarkets to do the the
_0	building or the preparation of that St. Thomas store?
L1	A. I don't recall. I don't recall the details of
L2	what, who was getting paid, who wasn't getting paid.
L3	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay.
_4	A. My concentration was on the St. Croix store.
L5	Q. Okay. Well, does does United Corporation know
L 6	whether it was paying any checks out during that time?
L7	A. I don't remember.
L8	Q. Okay. And and when did the St. Croix store
L9	reopen?
20	A. April of '94, I believe, or May of '94. May of
21	' 94.
22	Q. Okay. If you'd return with me to Attachment A,
23	which is Exhibit 1, the topics for the deposition?
24	Is you are are you there?
25	A. Yes, Exhibit 1.

1	Q. Okay. And if you'd look with me at Topic No. 3,
2	Facts related to defendants' filing in this case, that there
3	is no disagreement that Mr. Hamed is entitled to 50 percent
4	of the profit of the operation of the Plaza Extra store?
5	A. There's no disagreement that Hamed is is owed
6	50 percent of the net profit, no.
7	Q. Okay. If you'd look with me at Topic No. 4?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. Did you have a chance, before you came to this
10	deposition, to to review the this deposition, the
11	deposition of Mr. Fathi Yusuf, in the case in Superior Court
12	No. 156-1197?
13	A. What case?
14	(Deposition Exhibit No. 101 was
15	marked for identification.)
16	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) I'm handing you a document that's
17	Exhibit 101.
18	A. I've read it.
19	Q. You have? Okay.
20	And and is this the Oral Deposition of
21	Fathi Yusuf in a legal action in which he and
22	United Corporation were defendants?
23	A. That's what it says here.
24	Q. Okay. And was this a deposition taken on the 2nd
25	day of February, 2000?

1 Α. Whatever the date is here. I -- I don't see the 2 date. 3 Okay. So you -- you have no dispute with the fact 4 that this was taken? 5 Let me ask you a question. Were you 6 physically present at this deposition? 7 No, I wasn't. Α. Okay. This is document HAMD201327 through 8 Ο. HAMD201422, and you said you'd had a chance to read through 9 this. 10 Were there -- when you read through it, were 11 12 there any -- did you find any false statements made by 13 United or your father in this deposition? 14 Α. I --MR. HODGES: Objection. Objection as to 15 whether this was the deposition of United. There's no 16 17 indication it was the deposition of United. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. Did you --18 0. I didn't -- I wasn't -- I didn't read it to judge 19 Α. this. I did not read it to judge who was right and who was 20 21 wrong. 22 Q. Okay. 2.3 It's a deposition. Α. 2.4 Okay. So let me ask you some questions about some Q.

of the statements there, then.

25

1 Does United know how much capitalization 2 Mr. Hamed put into the supermarkets? Into the Plaza Extra 3 Supermarket operation? 4 Α. I think the deposition speaks for itself. It's 5 right here (indicating). 6 I understand that. I'm not asking you to recite. 7 I'm -- I'm just going to ask you some of the questions that I would like answered. 8 Α. I -- I wasn't --9 10 You don't have to read --0. -- I wasn't around for that agreement between 11 12 Mr. Yusuf and Hamed. 13 But you're -- you're not here as yourself today. Q. 14 I'm asking --15 Α. I'm here for United, correct. 16 -- United. Q. 17 Yes. 18 Α. No, I don't know. Okay. So would you like some time to examine 19 Ο. 20 this? 21 Α. No, not really. 22 Q. Okay. Does United Corporation know whether Mr. Hamed and Mr. Yusuf agreed to be liable for all of the 2.3 2.4 receivables and all of the payables that arose out of the 25 operations of the Plaza Extra Supermarkets?

- A. Repeat that again?
- Q. Does United Corporation know whether the agreement between Mr. Hamed and Mr. Yusuf was that they would both be 50-percent responsible for all of the payables and all the receivables from the partnership?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. They would be?
- A. (Witness nods head.)
- Q. Okay. And does United Corporation know whether, for any loans that were taken out, either by Mr. Yusuf or by United, for the benefit of Plaza Extra Supermarkets, whether Mr. Hamed agreed to pay back 50 percent of those loans?
- A. Yeah, it was the agreement, any loans that are taken out to be paid back from the operation of the store.
- Q. Okay. And any loans that were taken out either by Mr. Fathi Yusuf or by United for the benefit of Plaza Extra, when they were paid back, how -- from what funds were they paid?
 - A. From the operation of the stores.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if there was ever a time when either United or Mr. Fathi Yusuf ever put any money into Plaza Extra Supermarkets after the initial capitalization in 1986 beyond what was generated as proceeds in the operation of Plaza Extra Supermarkets?
 - A. I cannot recall. I don't.

- Q. Do you -- do you personally, as the president of United Corporation, recall United ever putting additional capitalization into the Plaza Extra Supermarkets beyond the amounts that were generated either from net proceeds of the operations, or from insurance payments to -- to the Plaza Extra Supermarkets?
 - A. I -- I -- I don't know. I really don't know.
 - Q. That's fine.

2.3

2.4

When you said that you weren't present when -- you personally weren't present when your father and Mr. Fathi Yusuf entered into this agreement, do you know of any writing in the possession of United which memorializes that agreement?

- A. No.
- Q. Have you ever seen any writing which reflects the terms of that agreement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you know of any persons, other than Mr. Fathi Yusuf and Mr. Mohammad Hamed, who were present when that agreement was made?
- A. I wasn't around. I was -- I was in school at that time, and after school, I would go and work in the -- the building or construction of putting up the store. I wasn't there. I was the work horse.
 - Q. Okay. But when you went back and -- and looked

1 through the papers and records of United, or just in the 2 operations of United, have you ever heard tell of -- of a 3 written document that reflects that agreement? Α. No. I've -- I've heard from Mr. Mohammad and I've 4 5 heard from my father the agreement that they had, you know, 6 off and on, in conversations and stuff like that. But 7 otherwise, they -- they never spoke of any written 8 agreement. Okay. Okay. If you'd return with me now to the 9 Ο. 10 the -- the topics in Attachment A to this deposition? MR. HODGES: Carl, are you finished with your 11 12 previous exhibits? 13 MR. HARTMANN: I am. 14 MR. HODGES: I'll clean these up. 15 THE WITNESS: So I can --16 MR. HODGES: Yeah. 17 (Deposition Exhibit No. 102 was marked for identification.) 18 19 Q. (Mr. Hartmann) I'm going to hand you a document marked Exhibit 102. This is Topic No. 5 on the Attachment A 20 topics for deposition. 21 22 And before coming to this deposition, did you 2.3 have a chance to review this document? 2.4 Α. No. 25 Then I'd like to take a moment to review it Q. Okay.

1 with you, if we could. 2 If you would direct your attention -- first 3 of all, this is, is it not, an affidavit of Fathi Yusuf given in Civil Action No. 156-197, --4 5 Α. Yes. -- uttered on or about 9/25/1999, with Bates 6 Ο. 7 No. HAMD277512 through HAMD277517? Do you see that document? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. And that is a case in which Q. United Corporation and Fathi Yusuf were the defendants, is 11 12 that correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 And Fathi Yusuf gave a -- an affidavit, and the 15 first line of it says, I, Fathi Yusuf, being first duly sworn, do depose and state as follows. 16 17 So you understand this to be an affidavit given under oath? 18 19 Α. Yes. Okay. I'd just like to ask you -- I'm going to 20 Q. ask you about United's understanding about some of the 21 22 statements Fathi Yusuf made in this document. 2.3 Paragraph 2 says, My brother-in-law, Mohammad 2.4 Hamed, and I have been full partners in the Plaza Extra 25 Supermarket since 1984, while we were obtaining financing

1 and constructing the store, which finally opened in 1986. 2 Is that a correct -- true and correct statement, to the best of United's knowledge? 3 4 Α. Correct. 5 Okay. And then Paragraph No. 3 says, Mohammad Q. 6 Hamed and I decided to open a Plaza Extra store, and used 7 our own capital, and later obtained financing to make sure -- make the store ready for opening. 8 Do you see that? 9 10 Uh-huh. Α. And is that a true and correct statement, 11 Ο. 12 according to United's understanding? That Mohammad Hamed and I decided to open a Plaza 13 Α. Extra store using our capital, and later obtained financials 14 15 to make the store ready for --16 Yes. 17 Q. Okay. And then the next one, Paragraph 4 says, Mohammad Hamed gave his eldest son, Waleed, aka Wally, power 18 of attorney to manage his interest to the family. 19 20 Does United know if that is a true and accurate statement of fact? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And is it a true and accurate statement of Q. 2.4 fact? 25 I said yes. Α.

1	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I asked the question badly.
2	It says, No. 5, We negotiated a lease for the
3	St. Thomas store with Tutu Park, Ltd. and executed the
4	agreement on May 30th, 1991.
5	Is that a true and correct statement?
6	A. When it says "We,"
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. I think my father is the only one that did it.
9	Q. Okay. And was it on or about May 30th of 1991?
10	A. I don't know the date.
11	Q. Okay. Do you know the "we" he was he was
12	referring to?
13	A. Well, I guess when he says "we," it's in general
14	for, you know, everybody. But he was the one who
15	Q. Who signed it?
16	f A. who signed it and did the agreement and sat
17	down with them and did this, sign. That's how he does it.
18	Q. But the "everybody" would be the rest of Plaza
19	Extra Supermarkets, is that correct?
20	A. (Witness shrugs shoulders.) Yeah, it's him. I
21	don't know. I mean, he's
22	Q. But the "we," I mean, you said it's for everybody.
23	A. The "we" is him. Yeah. I guess.
24	Q. Well, who would the "everybody" be?
2.5	A. The families.

1	Q. That would be the Yusuf and Hamed family, is that
2	correct?
3	A. I believe so, yeah.
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	Now it says that, 7, Hamed did not want a
6	third partner, but I convinced him that Ahmed could run the
7	store and would protect all of our investments.
8	First of all who is Ahmed or Ahmad,
9	A-H-M-A-D. I'm sorry.
LO	A. Ahmed Idheileh?
L1	Q. Uh-huh.
L2	A. Earlier you showed me a deposition with a case
L3	with Ahmed Idheileh.
L 4	Q. At the top of this document?
L5	A. Yes.
L 6	Q. Is that the Ahmed?
L7	A. Yes.
L8	Q. The plaintiff in this case?
L 9	Okay. And it says, Hamed did not want a
20	third partner, but I convinced him that Ahmed could run the
21	store and would protect all of our investments.
22	Do you know if that does United know if
23	that statement is a true statement?
24	A. This is an affidavit from Fathi Yusuf. Basically
25	what he put here is is correct.

1 Q. Okay. And when you said that Hamed did not want a 2 third partner, were you -- were you, as -- were you United's 3 president at this time? 4 Α. (Witness shakes head). 5 Q. Maybe? 6 Α. Maybe, maybe not. 7 Ο. Okay. 8 Α. I'm not -- I don't recall when I was president. 9 Ο. Okay. And --10 I believe I was, though. Α. Okay. So would you have, as United's president, 11 Q. have been in on the discussion where Hamed told Fathi Yusuf 12 that he did not want a third partner? 13 14 No, I wouldn't. Α. 15 Why would you not have? Q. 16 This is in '91, correct? Α. 17 Q. Right. In '91, if I'm not mistaken, in '91, we were in --18 Α. I was in St. Croix. 19 20 Q. But you said you went over to work on the St. Thomas store? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. But -- but you, as the president of Q. 2.4 United -- well, you said you couldn't remember whether you

were or not, but you, yourself, were not involved in this.

25

So this was just between Mohammad Hamed and Fathi Yusuf? 1 2 I don't think Mohammad Hamed was involved with 3 this, any agreements with the St. Thomas store. Okay. So where it said, Hamed did not want a 4 Ο. 5 third partner, the question is, were you the person -- were you, yourself, involved in any of those discussions? 6 7 you ever talk, were you there when your father and Moham --Fathi Yusuf and --8 I don't remember. I don't think so. I don't 9 Α. 10 remember. That's fine. Okay. 11 Q. 12 On Paragraph 11, which is Page 2 of the affidavit at Bates No. HAMD277513, do you see Paragraph 11? 13 14 Α. Uh-huh. 15 Do you see where it says, Under the joint Ο. agreement, United was to provide a key person to assist 16 17 Mr. Idheileh in the operation of the store. United had final say in how things were run. United provided Willy 18 19 Hamed as the key person? 20 MR. HODGES: You said financial say. It says 21 final say. 22 MR. HARTMANN: Oh, I'm sorry. Let me read it 23 again, more slowly. 2.4 (Mr. Hartmann) Under the Joint Venture Agreement, Q.

United was to provide a key person to assist Mr. Idheileh --

25

1	I-D-H-E-I-L-E-H in the operation of the store, and United
2	had the final say on how things were run. United provided
3	Willy Hamed as the key person.
4	Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And do you know if Willy Hamed was supplied as the
7	key person?
8	A. He was put over there to help Idheileh with
9	running the store.
LO	Q. As the key person?
L1	A. I don't know if it's as the key person or
L2	whatever. I mean (witness shrugs shoulders).
L3	Q. Okay.
L4	A. As far as I know, the the key person that was
L5	there was Idheileh.
L6	(Deposition Exhibit No. 103 was
L7	marked for identification.)
L8	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. I'd ask you to look at the
L 9	document I'm going to show you, which is Exhibit 103.
20	A. We're finished with this?
21	Q. Yes, we are.
22	Oh, no, let me ask you one last question.
23	I'm sorry.
24	In Paragraph 4, you said it was a true and
25	correct statement that Mohammad Hamed gave his eldest son,

1	Wally, the power of attorney to manage his interest for the
2	family.
3	What did that mean? What did United
4	understand that to mean?
5	A. What I understand, that Wally's put for the
6	interest of Mohammad Hamed to run the stores, and for the
7	families and everything.
8	Q. Okay. And and did he fulfill Mohammad Hamed's
9	duties in Plaza Extra, partnership duties, if Mohammad Hamed
10	was not there?
11	MR. HODGES: Objection. This is not a part
12	of the scope of the the deposition notice and attachment,
13	or you're asking for him to speak on behalf of an entity
14	that, the partnership, I guess, which he's not a a
15	partner of.
16	MR. HARTMANN: If you'd like to go off the
17	record and coach him, you can. I'm not prohibiting that.
18	Otherwise, that was a rolling objection.
19	Would you like to to I have no problem with it.
20	MR. HODGES: I don't I don't need to go
21	off the record.
22	MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
23	MR. HODGES: Do you want to go off the
24	record?
25	MR. HARTMANN: Only if you want to coach him.

```
1
                     MR. HODGES: You want me to coach my -- my
 2
      client?
 3
                     MR. HARTMANN: No. I -- I have said I don't
 4
      care if you do or not, but I would prefer you not do it on
 5
      the record.
 6
                (Mr. Hodges) Okay. If you'll take a look now at
 7
      Exhibit 103, Bates number is HAMD261991?
 8
                     MR. HODGES: I would -- is this part of the
      topic?
 9
10
                                    Yes, it is.
                     MR. HARTMANN:
11
                     MR. HODGES: Where?
12
                     MR. HARTMANN: No. 5.
13
           Ο.
                (Mr. Hodges) Mr. Yusuf, do you know if this
14
      Document 103 is an exhibit in -- in the case we're here
      today to discuss in -- in the action SX-12-CV-370?
15
16
                I'm not sure.
           Α.
17
           0.
                You're not sure?
                It's so many documents.
18
           Α.
                I'll represent to you that it is. It's a matter
19
           Q.
      of record in this case.
20
21
           Α.
                If you say so.
                And is this Plaintiff's Second Set of
22
           Ο.
23
      Interrogatories to Defendants, to United Corporation, being
2.4
      answered by United Corporation on or about the 4th of
25
      October, 1999?
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. And if you'd look at Interrogatory No. 2, which is on Page 4 of the document, Bates numbered HAMD261994, the question is asked, State herein the length of employment, comma, job description and duties, rate of pay and other emoluments -- that's spelled E-M-O-L-U-M-E-N-T-S -- of Mr. Mohammad Hamed.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see the -- the response that
 United Corporation gave to this question, which is,
 Without -- starting at the third sentence -- Without waiving
 said objective -- objection, Mohammad Hamed is a partner in
 Plaza Extra Supermarkets, and has been since the mid-1980s.

Do you see that sentence?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And is that a true and correct statement?
 - A. Yes. He's a partner in the net profit.
- 19 Q. Do you see the phrasing "net profit" anywhere?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. Okay. So when United was asked to -- to give statements under oath in 19 -- October of 1999, and was asked exactly what -- whether Mr. Mohammad Hamed was an employee, it says, The length of employment or his job description or his duties, it responded, without any

limitation, that Mohammad Hamed is a partner in the Plaza Extra Supermarket and has been since the mid-1980s, did it not?

A. Yes.

2.4

Q. And turn over to No. 3, which is at Page 5, Bates numbered HAMD261995.

And was United not asked, State herein the length of employment, the job description and duties, rate of pay and other emoluments -- emoluments, of Mr. Waleed Hamed.

Were they asked that question?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And did they respond in the second line of that, Waleed Hamed has been working for Plaza Extra on and off since 1986. At the time he worked in the St. Thomas Plaza Extra, during the period of plaintiffs' joint venture with United, which is the only relevant issue, he was a general he was a partner with general management duties. He received no salary.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** And how would Waleed Hamed be characterized as partner?
 - A. Waleed Hamed is not a partner.
 - Q. I understand. I understand that you've said that

- previously, but why would United state that he acted as a partner with general management duties, and received no salary?
 - A. Waleed is not a partner. He never was a partner.
 - Q. Did he fulfill his father's partnership duties only, not his own partnership duties?
 - A. He did not -- he was not a partner. He was working on behalf of his father, to tell him what goes on. He was a manager.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. A general manager, or a partner, that's all I know Waleed Hamed to be.
 - Q. So this was an incorrect statement made?
 - A. It's got to be incorrect.
 - Q. Okay. And if you look at No. 4, it would be the same statement made in response to Interrogatory No. 4 at Page 6, HAMD261996.
 - That would also be an incorrect statement.
- A. Correct. Waleed Hamed is not a partner. He's a general manager --
 - Q. Okay. Do you --
- 22 **A.** -- employed by United Corporation.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if the last part of the sentence there, both in Interrogatories No. 3 and 4, where it says, He received no salary, was correct?

1	A. I don't recall if he did receive or he didn't
2	receive.
3	Q. Okay. Okay. If you'd look at Interrogatory No. 6
4	on Page 8, Bates numbered HAMD261998, it inquires, does it
5	not, that United please provide the names and addresses of
6	any and all individuals who have entered into joint venture
7	or partnership agreements with Defendant Yusuf?
8	Did I state that interrogatory correctly?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And does it say that, beginning in the
11	middle of the second line, without limiting or waiving said
12	objection, with respect to Plaza Extra, the original
13	partners were Khaled Ali, Issam Yusuf, Mohammad Hamed, and
14	Defendant Yusuf.
15	By the time Plaintiff Plaza Extra opened in
16	1986, Mohammad Hamed and Defendant Yusuf were the only
17	partners. These partners operated Plaza Extra under the
18	corporate name of United Corp., and joined Ahmed Idheileh in
19	a joint venture for the St. Thomas Plaza Extra in the 1992.
20	Did I correctly read that?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And is this a correct statement of the facts as
23	United understands it?
24	A. Yeah. Yes.

25

Q.

Okay.

- A. But you see how it corrected itself. Waleed Hamed is not a partner. He --
- Q. Waleed only operated as his father's agent in the partnership, is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

2.4

Q. Okay. I understand that.

The -- the point I wanted to inquire about a little bit is, do you know what happened to the two original partners that are listed here? It says they were gone by 1986.

- A. I don't know the details of that.
- Q. Okay. And when it says, The partners operated Plaza Extra under the corporate name of United Corp., what does that mean?
- A. They had -- they didn't have any other way to do it. Mohammad didn't have any other way to do it but to enter -- to work underneath United. That was the agreement that Mr. Yusuf put in place.
- Q. Okay. So -- so United -- Plaza Extra Supermarkets was the partnership between your father and Mr. Hamed -- Mr. Hamed, is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that partnership was operated under the corporate name of United Corp.?
 - A. It was operated under United, under United Corp.,

_	
1	yes.
2	Q. Okay. I'd ask you to return for a second to
3	Exhibit 101 that we looked at earlier. That was the
4	deposition of Fathi Yusuf, Bates No. HAMD201327. And I'd
5	ask you to turn to Page 69 of that deposition, which is
6	Bates No. HAMD201395.
7	And just let me know when you get there.
8	A. Page 69?
9	Q. Yes.
10	And I'd direct your attention to lines, if
11	you would, a review first Lines 13 through 25?
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. Okay. Now, where it says at Line 13, you were
14	asked by Attorney Adams, When it says United Corporation in
15	this Joint Venture Agreement, in talking about Plaza Extra,
16	talking about the supermarket on St. Thomas, who owned or
17	who were the partners in United Corporation, Plaza Extra, at
18	the time before you entered into the Joint Venture
19	Agreement.
20	And the answer there is, It's always, since
21	1984, Mohammad Hamed.
22	You see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And does that comport with United's understanding

of the situation? Was Mohammad Hamed always a partner since

1	1984?
2	A. In the supermarket operation.
3	Q. Okay. In Plaza Extra Supermarkets, correct? Not
4	United Corporation.
5	A. Not United Corporation.
6	Q. Okay. And then he was asked, So when it says
7	United Corporation, and then he answered before the question
8	was fully out, It really meant me and Mr. Mohammad Hamed.
9	Do you see that?
10	A. Okay.
11	Q. And is that correct?
12	A. It's in the operation of the store. In the
13	stores. They use United Corporation to flip back and forth.
14	I mean, you guys been using United Corporation, Plaza Extra,
15	flipping back and forth?
16	Q. Okay. Excuse me one second. He has to change the
17	tape.
18	MR. HARTMANN: Can can we take three
19	minutes or four minutes? I need to use the
20	MR. HODGES: Uh-huh.
21	MR. HARTMANN: little attorneys' room.
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 11:07.
23	(Short recess taken.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record at
25	11:15.

- 1 Q. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. I direct your attention once 2 again to Deposition Exhibit 1, which is the Attachment A 3 topics for deposition. And I'd ask you to review Topic 4 No. 6, Methods and actions in the recordation and accounting 5 of amounts taken by members of the Hamed and Yusuf families 6 from Plaza Extra supermarket funds in 1986 to 2003. 7 How funds was taken out of Plaza Extra? That's 8 basically what you want to know?
 - Q. Well, I'll ask you some questions about this topic.
 - A. Oh.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

2.4

- Q. Okay. Now, who's -- the Plaza Extra Supermarket bank accounts, how were they kept?
 - A. How they are what?
 - Q. How were they kept?
 - A. In bank accounts.
- 17 **Q.** Yeah.
 - A. In the bank.
- 19 Q. In whose name are those accounts?
 - A. United Corporation d/b/a Plaza Extra.
- Q. Okay. And does United Corporation have some
 accounts that are open only to it that are its own accounts,
 separate from the supermarket account?
 - **A.** Who is -- repeat the question?
 - Q. Does United Corporation have separate accounts

1 from the Plaza Extra accounts? United Corporation have -- they're all -- all 2 3 United Corporation. 4 Ο. I understand. But you said the Plaza Extra 5 accounts were labeled United Corporation d/b/a Plaza Extra. 6 Α. Uh-huh. 7 Are there some accounts that are just 8 United Corporation? Α. 9 No. 10 The Plaz -- the tenant account is labeled 0. United Corporation d/b/a Plaza Extra? 11 12 Α. No. How is it labeled? 13 Ο. 14 United Corporation Tenant Account. Α. 15 Okay. And were net proceeds, the net pro -- you Q. testified that the net profits of United Plaza Extra --16 excuse me -- Plaza Extra Supermarkets were to be divided 17 equally between Mr. Mohammad -- Mr. Mohammad Hamed and 18 Mr. Fathi Yusuf. 19 20 Were those distributions ever paid out of those bank accounts? 21 22 Α. No, we never paid distributions out of bank 2.3 accounts. 2.4 Okay. And in addition to the bank accounts, was Q.

cash also kept by the Plaza Extra Supermarkets?

- A. We have cash in -- in the Plaza Extra to operate.
- Q. Okay. And tell me how -- how cash is kept at Plaza Extra Supermarkets?
 - A. It's kept in a safe.
 - Q. Okay. And where is the safe located?
 - A. Upstairs in the office.
 - Q. Is it -- and it's the East store?
 - A. In each location.
 - Q. In each location. Okay.

Now, does everybody have access to this cash?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. Okay. Who has access to the cash?
- A. In the St. Thomas store, I don't know who has access to the cash at this time. In the East store, I -- I believe it's Mufeed and Yousuf Yusuf. In the St. Thomas, I'm not -- I don't know. In the West store, Hisham.
- Q. Just Hisham?
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Okay. And historically, do you know the different people who have had control of the cash room and the safe at, say, the East store? Do you have any idea, just -- and I know this is not meant to be a memory test, and if you want to go off the record and look at something, it's fine. I just want to know, generally, for periods of time, do you know who's been in charge of the safe?

1 Α. In the East store, yes, --2 Q. Okay. 3 Α. -- I can probably tell you. And the West store. Okay. Just tell me about East first. 4 Ο. 5 The East store, from since it open, or what year? Α. 6 Yeah, just as far back as you remember. 0. 7 Α. From since we opened, Waleed Hamed was in charge of the cash. 8 From '86 forward? 9 Ο. From '86 until 1991, '92. 10 Α. Okay. And then who took over? 11 Q. 12 Α. Waheed Hamed took over in the East store. I don't know what year. It's in '91, maybe, '92; '91, '92. 13 14 Okav. And then who took over after that? 0. 15 Ninety-two, the store burned down. Α. 16 Q. Okay. And what happened to the cash in the safe 17 in '92, when the store burned down? I don't recall what happened to the cash in the 18 safe. I don't recall. 19 20 Q. And who was --I was not the one who was responsible for the 21 22 cash. I mean, I probably had some kind of access. I don't 2.3 know if it was -- because we have two safes, so I wasn't 2.4 sure if I had access to that safe; that large safe. 25 Okay. And after the store was rebuilt, who had Q.

1 control of the safes -- the safe room? 2 The safe is in its own room? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. And does that room have an alarm system on it? 5 Α. From '94 on, --6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. -- yes. And -- and who has the code for that alarm? 8 Q. We all do. Some employees, office staff, and 9 Α. whoever works in that store between one of the Yusufs or one 10 of the Hameds, or two and one, or whatever. 11 12 Q. Okay. And who has the combination to the safe? Which safe? 13 Α. 14 The one in the East. We're dealing with just the 0. 15 East store for the moment, in the year 1994? 16 In '94? Α. 17 Q. Yeah. 18 Α. I did. Okay. So how long did you have control of the 19 Q. 20 cash? I believe until '98, I -- until '98. 21 Α. 22 Q. Okay. So from '94 to '98, when you had control of 23 the safe, who had the combination to the safe? 2.4 Α. I did. 25 Did anybody else? Q.

1 Α. At one time, I think, I don't remember what year 2 Mufeed came, and he had some kind of access to the safe. 3 And who took it next after you? Α. Mufeed. 4 5 Okay. And do you know approximately what years he Q. 6 did it? 7 It had to have been from '98, because I went over Α. to the West store to do construction. 8 Okay. And tell me what it means to be in control 9 Q. 10 of -- of the safe. Can anybody else take cash out beside -let's take the period when you were solely in control of it. 11 12 Could anybody else take cash out without you 13 knowing it, or without telling you? 14 Α. No. And -- and how did -- how did it work if someone 15 0. wanted to get cash out? For instance, if -- if, let's say 16 17 Mohammad Hamed could -- could take, as one of the partners, could take money out, and your father could take money out, 18 is that correct? 19 They cannot take money out without I'm giving them 20 Α. the money. I giving them the money. 21 22 Q. Okay. 2.3 If that's what you're referring to. Α. 2.4 Yeah. Well, that's kind of, but let me rephrase Q.

They -- they couldn't go into the actual safe and take

25

it.

1 it, but they could both get money as -- as the partners, 2 they could get money from you out of that room, right? 3 Α. Yes. Ο. Okay. And -- and if your father came to you and 4 said, I want to take \$10,000 out of the safe for me as the 5 partner, what would -- what would -- what would you do about 6 7 that? How -- I just want the process of how it worked. I never had any feelings with my father at needing 8 9 cash. He would just -- I don't remember. He was in St. Thomas from --10 11 Q. Oh. 12 Α. He was in St. Thomas. I don't -- I don't 13 remember. But I -- I remember Mr. Mohammad asking me for 14 cash. 15 Q. Okay. But my father, I don't remember. I don't. 16 Α. 17 Okay. Well, I'm just trying to use an example. Q. We'll use Mr. Mohammad. 18 19 Α. Sure. 20 Q. Mr. Mohammad, were you still in control in 2002, did you say? I'm just, I just want to --21 22 Α. 2002, where? 23 In St. Thomas? Or, no, I'm sorry, in St. Croix? Q. 24 No, you were gone to St. Thomas by then, 25 right?

1 I'm sorry. I'm having a fantasy experience. 2 Just ignore it. 3 Withdraw the question. While -- at some point while you were in 4 5 the -- in control of the safe, Mr. Mohammad might come to you and say, I need \$10,000, is that correct? 6 7 MR. HODGES: Okay. Let's just make sure, you're talking about the safe in the Plaza Extra East store? 8 MR. HARTMANN: East store. 9 10 MR. HODGES: Okay. (Mr. Hartmann) During the period that you were in 11 Ο. 12 charge of it. 13 Α. Yes, he would --He might come to you and say, I need \$10,000? 14 0. 15 Α. Yes. And what would you do? How -- just describe the 16 Q. 17 physical process of what would then next happen? From '94, I believe I used to keep a ledger, and 18 that ledger is attached to the 2.7 million that you have 19 20 there. A ledger, and I called it Mohammad Hamed. And any time he received money from me, I chat (phonetic) it down. 21 22 (Deposition Exhibit No. 144 was 2.3 marked for identification.) 2.4 (Mr. Hartmann) And when you say it was attached Q. 25 to that letter, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 144.

1 Is that the letter you were talking about? 2 Α. Yeah. Well, yes. 3 This is -- this is a document, Exhibit 144, Bates 4 numbered HAMD200103, dated August 15th, 2012. 5 And when -- when you sent this letter to -when this letter was sent to Mr. Mohammad Hamed, it says, 6 7 does it not, that, This amount equals the proceeds you previously withdrew through your agent, Waleed Hamed. 8 9 Is what you're describing his withdrawing is 10 withdrawing funds in this manner? Right. 11 Α. I'm sorry? 12 Q. 13 Right, yes. Α. 14 (Deposition Exhibit No. 146 was marked for identification.) 15 (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. And -- and I'm going to now 16 Q. 17 give you Document 146, and attached to this version of the letter, is there a set of different documents? 18 Who are you referring to, the 144 or the 146? 19 Α. 20 Q. Well, the 146 you were just handed. Exhibit 146? 21 Α. 22 Q. Yes. 2.3 Okay. It's the same letter. Α. 2.4 It's the same letter, but does -- the second one Q. 25 has a series of attachments on it, --

1	A. Correct.
2	Q does it?
3	Okay. If you'll look at the first attached
4	page, is is that the ledger you're describing?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. So do I understand you correctly that if
7	if someone came to you, you would write down the amount of
8	money they would they obtained from you?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And where on that ledger does it show the
11	withdrawals made by the Yusufs?
12	A. I this is not here.
13	Q. It's not there?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Do you have that?
16	A. It was receipts that we some receipts that
17	we we had.
18	Q. Was this ledger that you've attached here, was
19	that written contemporaneously with the withdrawals? In
20	other words, were you writing that ledger back in 19 the
21	dates that that are shown there?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And did you write a Yusuf ledger?
24	A. I don't recall writing a Yusuf letter ledger.
25	I think I did receipts at that time also.

1 Q. Okay. 2 But for Mohammad, I had a ledger, because Mohammad 3 used to be in the warehouse, so I would give it to him or 4 he'll stop by and I'll leave it with the girls to give it to 5 him. And I just wrote it down and just told Wally that, 6 Your father is coming for this and this and that. 7 You know, I was working under Wally at that time. 8 Q. Okay. And when you were working under Wally at that time, would -- would Wally withdraw money? 9 10 Α. Yes. Are -- are Wally's withdrawals listed on this 11 Q. 12 list? 13 Α. On the ledger? 14 Ο. Yes. I -- I'm not sure if any of these would -- would 15 Α. be Wally also, or just Mr. Mohammad, but let's go to the 16 17 next page. 18 Q. Okay. There's a subtotal here which Wally signed --19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. -- to acknowledge all these withdrawals. 21 Α. 22 Q. I get that, but what I'm trying to get at is what 23 does it say at the top of that page? 2.4 Α. Mohammad Hamed.

So were those -- I'm just trying to get

25

Q.

Okay.

1 whether you recall whether those were just Mohammad Hamed's 2 withdrawals, or whether they were also Wally's? 3 I don't remember if anything here was Wally's. I 4 can't remember. 5 Q. Okay. You don't know. 6 I mean, Mr. Mohammad was pulling, you know, he was 7 pulling some good money. 8 Ο. Right. And if your father wanted to, you called it "pulling some good money"? 9 10 Yeah, he was pulling some good money, from the numbers you see here. 11 12 Q. I see. And was your father pulling some good 13 money? 14 From where? Α. 15 Q. From you. 16 He was not here. He was in St. Thomas. Α. 17 Q. No, no. I'm just asking the question. Was he -first, let's start with, was he pulling it from you? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. No. Where was your father pulling it? 21 I'm not sure where he was pulling it from. 22 Α. 23 not there where he was. 2.4 Okay. He was in St. Thomas, right? Q. 25 He was in St. Thomas. I'm in St. Croix. Α.

- Q. Okay. So if money was coming out by your father in St. Thomas, you wouldn't have been the one keeping the records, right?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

- Q. Who would have?
- A. Waheed Hamed.
- Q. Okay. All right. And -- and did you prepare this letter that we're looking at, the exhibit?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And could you explain to me what exactly the -first of all, just generally, in your own terms, describe to
 me what this letter was for.

Why did you send this letter?

- A. Because they -- they withdrew all this money from Plaza Extra operations, and I wanted to withdraw it to offset this.
- Q. Okay. So let me -- let me jump back again. I -- I kind of lost my track there for a second.

You said that for Mr. Mohammad Hamed, you wrote a ledger out.

- A. Yes.
- Q. But other people were taking money out, right?
- 23 A. I never said that.
 - Q. No, no, no. I'm asking you. Besides Mr. Mohammad Hamed, did -- did Wally draw money out, did you draw money

1 out, that you disbursed yourself? 2 Yeah, yes, at some times, yes. 3 Ο. Okay. And you didn't -- you didn't keep a ledger 4 for those. You said you did it a different way. 5 Α. I -- I did Mohammad own differently than --6 Okay. Q. 7 -- if Wally was to pull, or I was to pull. Α. Q. Okay. If -- if you were to pull, then what would 8 9 happen? 10 We would write a receipt. Α. Okay. And what -- and what would a receipt look 11 Q. 12 like? It's a -- if you notice, if you go down some 13 pages, you'll see some of the records, the papers. 14 15 Okav. So let's --Q. 16 Α. That's the receipts. 17 Ο. -- let's turn to -- let's turn to the page, the 18 next page there, just the first receipt that appears, which says YUSF106103. 19 20 Α. 106103. 21 Q. Down at the very bottom left? 22 Α. No. 23 MR. HODGES: Can you use the -- the FY 24 numbers at the bottom, the very bottom? 25 (Mr. Hodges) Yeah. Just -- just look at any --Q.

```
1
      any one that you think reflects a receipt, and just tell me
 2
      what the -- that Bates number is at the bottom?
                YUSF --
 3
           Α.
 4
           Q.
                Right.
 5
           Α.
                -- 106085.
                Okay. So now just tell me the normal course of
 6
           0.
 7
      the transaction.
                Wally asked me for money, or he asked his brother
 8
           Α.
 9
      for money.
10
           Q.
                Okay.
                And he took it in cash, and wrote a receipt.
11
           Α.
12
           Q.
                Okay. And if this one was -- what's the date
      on -- on this one?
13
                It's not clear here. It's -- it's not clear.
14
           Α.
15
           Q.
                Okay.
                It's not clear. I'm not going to guess.
16
           Α.
17
           Q.
                So -- so do you have any idea when that receipt is
      from?
18
19
           Α.
                It's -- this is not a clear copy. It looks like
      '99; 12/29/99.
20
                12/29, that's a guess?
21
           Q.
22
           Α.
                Yeah. It looks -- it looks --
23
                Okay. So let's -- let's concentrate on that.
           Q.
2.4
      Would that be -- 12/29/99, would that be a -- a date that
25
      you were there?
```

1 Α. No. 2 Q. Oh, you were gone by then? 3 Α. Yeah. I was in --4 Q. Okay. 5 Α. -- in construction. 6 Okay. So -- so for every time money was withdrawn 0. 7 from the safe, one of two things -- when you were in control 8 of it, one or two things happened, is that correct? Either you wrote a line in the ledger for Mohammad Hamed, or you 9 filled out one of these receipts. 10 11 Α. Right. 12 Q. What did -- was there a generic name for these receipts that everybody called them? 13 14 Receipts. Α. Were they called chits ever? 15 Q. 16 You guys came up with that word. Α. 17 Q. Oh, okay. I never heard that word before. 18 Α. 19 Q. Okay. So these were all receipts. 20 Α. Right. Okay. And -- and so for every transaction where 21 Q. 22 cash was removed from any of the safes, --2.3 There were three safe rooms, one in each 2.4 store, is that correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1	Q there would have either been an entry in a
2	ledger, or a receipt, is that correct?
3	A. Entry in a ledger, or a receipt?
4	Yes, yes.
5	Q. Okay. And and so just let's take a year, for
6	example, 1998. I know nothing about it. This is a
7	hypothetical question. If in 1998 I went to all three
8	stores and I added up all the ledger entries, and all the
9	chit all the receipt entries, I could find out to the
10	penny how much money the Hameds had withdrawn, and how much
11	money the Yusufs had withdrawn, is that correct?
12	A. That's, yeah, if we could find the records, yes.
13	Q. Yes. And you say that like you are not sure you
14	can find the records.
15	A. Well, the FBI came in and took a lot of our
16	records. It's still held by the District Court.
17	Q. I see. But if you could get those all together
18	and add them up, you could get a number, is that correct?
19	A. Should be able to, yes.
20	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, all of
21	those receipts still exist today from 1986 on?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. Why don't you tell me about that?
24	A. About what?
25	Q. Why why some of them don't exist?

- A. Should I explain -- that would explain the 1.6 that we have here on the letter.
- Q. I'll get there, I swear. I just want to -- right now, I just want to know, I asked you if I could go around and collect all these receipts, add them up and find out how much the Hameds took out, and how much the Yusufs. You said yes.

And I said, So I should be able to do that from the -- from back till now, and you said, no, there's a problem. You said some might be in the possession of a third party.

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. When I have those from the third party, will I then be able to get that number?
 - A. To physically check every receipt by receipt?
 - Q. Through all the --
- A. There's -- there's some receipt was destroyed by Waleed Hamed, and some receipts were destroyed by me.
 - Q. Okay. Tell me about that.
- A. Sure. In 2000 -- that's, I'm -- to explain to you, that's where the 1.6, I'm going to explain.
 - Q. I -- I understand that.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. But right now, just tell me how --
- A. Because it comes -- it's going to drive to this.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Okay?

2.4

- Q. That's fine.
- A. 2001, that's the -- the year that we had the raid.
 - Q. Okay. What -- approximately what date?
 - **A.** October 23rd of 2001.
 - Q. Okay.

A. Okay. Sometime I would say a month and a half to two months before that, Waleed got a call from Waheed saying that something is going on. Some kind of agency is coming to spot check us, look at us. I -- I don't know the details of that. So among us, at that time, it was me, Mufeed and Waleed in the Plaza Extra East, I was doing construction at that time -- or, no, the store in West was open at that time.

So I left my store, and I came to East to -to discuss what's -- what's going on. Nobody wanted to
speak over the phone. We -- you know? We were trying to
not say anything over the phone, because we didn't know what
was going on. We just heard through the grapevine,
something is happening. We didn't know.

So between among us, we decided to destroy some of the receipts, because they were all in cash. We pulled out a good bit of receipts from the safes in Plaza East. Mufeed was present with me. He had a whole, a heap

of receipts for the Hameds only. It could be from either one of the Hameds, once it's the Hamed. And receipts from the Yusuf, which basically was just me, not, you know, nobody else.

Mufeed, I guess you call it, tallied, and, you know, put a tape on what they withdraw, and I put a tally, a tape, on what I withdraw. And I gave him my receipts to double-check my work, he gave me his receipt to double-check his work.

Once everything dropped to the penny, we were fine, I said, Listen. I'm destroying my receipts. You know what I owe you guys. I owe you guys 1.3 million, and at that time, they had pulled in receipts about 2.9 million. Wally wanted to take a look at it, and as far as I know, Wally got rid of the receipts. So 1.3 million from 2.9 million, this is where you get the 1.6 million.

- Q. In Exhibit --
- A. In Exhibit 146.
- Q. Okay. So let me just see if I'm clear. The two of you collected the receipts from everywhere?
 - A. No. You're -- I told you, from Plaza Extra East.
 - Q. Oh, just from Plaza Extra East?
 - A. I came from Plaza West.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

A. I was open at that time.

1 Q. Okay. And -- and do you know if the same thing 2 was done at Plaza Extra West and at--3 Α. Plaza Extra West, it was not done. 4 Ο. It was not done? 5 No. We had just recently opened a year, --Α. 6 Okay. Q. 7 -- and I believe I do have some receipts in that Α. safe --8 9 Q. Okay. 10 -- up to this day. Α. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. Okay? 13 Ο. And how about St. Thomas? I don't -- St. Thomas didn't do anything. Now, 14 Α. 15 from reviewing the FBI records, they did not get rid of 16 anything. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Α. Okay? Okay. Have -- have you ever attempted -- so if 19 Q. I'm correct, any receipt that comes from East before the end 20 of 2001 has -- has theoretically been destroyed, and even if 21 22 it hasn't been destroyed, it was wiped out by the 2.3 reconciliation? 2.4 Α. No. No. No. The safe, that was -- that safe 25 actually held everything to do with United Corporation,

Plaza Extra, everything. Everything that's -- and sometimes, even some personal stuff that was kept in there for safekeeping from either the Hameds or the Yusuf. So, I mean, it's a safe with about five drawers, I mean about five shelves. The day-to-day receipts and this and that. I mean, like the ledger, I remember the ledger after looking at the FBI records, and I remembered the ledger, and I said, Wait. We didn't get those receipts. And it still have more receipts in that safe.

Q. Okay.

2.4

- A. So it's not like I went and shelf by shelf by shelf by shelf by shelf and clean out the safe. Remember, it's three hands got into that safe, you know, after -- from -- from me to Mufeed, and then Yousuf, I think he's now in charge.

 Okay, so this --
- Q. So -- so there might have been more receipts that weren't calculated into the 1.6?
- A. Correct, because the ledger goes back -- the ledger goes back from 1994, I believe, here.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. So the ledger was or was not in the 1.6?
- **A.** It was not in the 1.6.
 - Q. Okay. So what was in the 1.6? You don't know, because they're all destroyed?

1 Α. The receipts. The receipts similar to the ones 2 I'm showing you here. 3 I know, but the actual ones that you say added up 4 to this 1.6, they're gone. 5 Α. Right. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. To this figure, yes. Yes. 8 And you don't know, could some of those be mixed Q. in with some of these? 9 10 Α. No. How do you know that Wally destroyed all his? 11 Q. 12 Α. It was given to him, and he said they was 13 destroyed. 14 Okay. But you don't know. 0. 15 I been taking his word for all these years. Α. 16 Okay. Okay. I'm just trying to understand, Q. 17 really. And -- and so you added up, you took all these receipts, which -- which were just Plaza East receipts? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 And you said in your letter that -- that Q. additional withdrawals per the attached receipts was 21 22 \$1,095,000, right? 2.3 Α. Uh-huh. Right. Right. 2.4 Q. More or less? 25 More or less. Α.

1 Q. And that's how you arrived at the amount of money 2 that United withdrew from the account to balance the 3 accounts, is that correct? Based on these receipts. 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Now, I think you heard yesterday in the deposition 0. of your father that when we added up all the receipts that 8 you provided us, we came out with \$334,363.75. 9 10 Α. Correct. So, and your father said, If there's a discrepancy 11 Q. 12 there, we'll work that out. 13 Α. Correct. 14 So we trust you guys on that? 0. 15 Really? Α. 16 But when this -- when this math was done, you Q. 17 didn't go and get the receipts from St. Thomas, right? 18 Α. No. So in St. Thomas, for instance, it could be the 19 Ο. Yusufs owe Hameds \$10 million, for all you know. 20 (Witness indicating). 21 Α. 22 Q. Right? 2.3 Could be whatever it could be. I don't know. Α. 2.4 Q. Yeah. Okay.

And in the West store, since those weren't

1 collected when you did this letter, --2 Α. Correct. 3 -- again, the Yusufs could -- take a different number -- the Yusufs could owe the -- the Hameds 4 5 \$1,241,386.42, couldn't they? 6 Α. Whatever. 7 So that's a yes, right? 0. (Witness shrugs shoulders.) I can't speak for 8 Α. St. Thomas. I told you, I cannot speak for St. Thomas. 9 10 Q. Okay. I'm speaking for Plaza East. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. So -- so at the time you wrote this letter and explained why you were taking 2.7 million out, and this 13 14 was money that you were going to withdraw from the 15 Plaza Extra Supermarkets' account, right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And this was money that you asked Mr. Hamed 0. through, by writing a letter to his agent, Wally, if you 18 19 could take it out, right? 20 I wasn't asking Mr. Hamed if I could take it out. Α. I was just letting him know, by the courtesy of letting him 21 22 know, that I'm going to pull the money. Okay. And -- but he said no, right? Wally said 23 Q. 2.4 no? 25 It doesn't matter what Wally says. Α.

1 Q. I get it. You don't --2 Α. I don't work for Wally. 3 0. You don't have to make the political statement. 4 I'm just asking you whether --5 Α. I answered your -- I answered your question. 6 Q. -- he said no. 7 Did he say no? 8 He didn't say no. Α. What did he say? 9 Ο. 10 He say he write me a letter. I didn't even read Α. the letter. I read the letter a couple days later. 11 12 Q. And what did the letter say? I -- I guess you have it there, right. 13 Α. 14 Do you recall? Q. 15 I don't recall the letter. Something about Α. Dorothea, and something like that. I don't know the letter 16 17 word by word. Did he say, Yes, it's okay for you to take the 18 \$2.7 million? 19 20 I believe he had disagreed that I took the Α. \$2.7 million. 21 22 Q. Okay. 2.3 Α. But it didn't matter --2.4 Q. Okay. 25 -- if he disagreed or agreed. Α.

1 Q. I get it. 2 Α. The only person, my superior, --3 0. Yeah? 4 Α. -- is my father. 5 Okay. And -- and so you sent this letter to him Q. 6 which added this 1,095,000 and some-odd dollars to the 7 million six, which you said was the reconciliation that you had done earlier, the one that you described in 2001, right? 8 Α. 9 Yes. 10 But this -- but this letter only is talking about a reconciliation of one of the three stores, right? This 11 12 has nothing to do with Plaza Extra West, and what the imbalances might be there. It has nothing to do with what 13 14 was happening at St. Thomas, or the imbalances there, right? 15 No. This is only Plaza Extra East. Α. So, in fact, the day you wrote this letter, the --16 Q. 17 the Yusufs might have owed the Hameds \$5 million? For all you know, right? 18 Α. That's impossible. 19 Α. 20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 147 was marked for identification.) 21 22 Q. (Mr. Hartmann) So I'm going to show you Exhibit 147, which is Document HAMD200104, which is a letter 23 2.4 dated Thursday, August 16th, 2012, to Fathi Yusuf from

25

Waleed Hamed.

1	And is this the letter that you were
2	discussing that you had received?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And you didn't receive this until when, did you
5	say? Oh, I'm sorry. You said you didn't read it until
6	when?
7	A. I don't know what, if I got it a day or two days
8	after, or three days after, I'm not sure. But I don't I
9	don't I read it a couple days after, a day or so after I
10	got it.
11	Q. After you got it?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. How when did you read it in relationship to
14	when you took the money out of the bank?
15	A. I don't know a day. I told you a day after I got
16	it. After I got this letter.
17	Q. When did you take the money out of the bank,
18	let let me say that.
19	Do you recall?
20	A. I don't I don't recall that.
21	(Deposition Exhibit No. 149 was
22	marked for identification.)
23	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. And I now am going to show
24	you an Exhibit numbered 149, which is Bates numbered at the
25	upper right-hand corner, HAMD200105, and is a letter

```
1
      addressed on United Corporation stationery to Mr. Mohammad
 2
      Hamed on August 22nd, 2012 from Fathi Yusuf.
 3
                     Did -- did Fathi Yusuf draw up this letter,
 4
      or did you?
 5
                I don't recall if it was me, or me and him, or me
 6
      alone. I -- I -- I don't -- I don't remember.
 7
                Okay. But both of these letters were sent from
      Fathi Yusuf, right?
 8
           Α.
 9
                Yes.
10
                Okay. As a matter of fact, let's look at -- at
      144. That's the one with the math on it, or 146, whichever
11
12
      you want.
13
           Α.
                Okay.
14
                Yeah, it's the same one.
           Ο.
15
                     What does the signature on 144 or 146 say?
16
      Whose signature is that?
17
           Α.
                That's my signa --
                You recognize it?
18
           Q.
                -- my signature.
19
           Α.
20
           Q.
                Your signature.
21
                     But it says, For the Fathi Yusuf, right?
22
           Α.
                Right.
23
                Why would Fathi Yusuf -- you were the president,
           Q.
24
      right, of United?
25
                Yes.
           Α.
```

	30(B)(6) OF UNITED CORP MAHER "MIRE" YUSUF DIRECT
1	Q. And and these were not adjustments for
2	United Corporation, these were adjustments for Plaza Extra
3	Supermarkets, is that correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is that why Fathi Yusuf's name appears on all
6	three of these, because these are partnership
7	reconciliations?
8	A. Yes. It's for it's withdrawals from the store.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. I mean, what's so hard in that?
11	Q. Nothing.
12	And is and is this third letter that I've
13	given you, 149, this is the one that either you or you and
14	your father worked on, and you said, did you not, That your
15	response letter through your agent, Waleed Hamed, does not
16	deny the validity of any of the amounts stated as owing and
17	outstanding.
18	Is that what you said, that his letter did
19	not refute that?
20	A. Yeah.
21	Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to his letter, 147, and if
22	you'd review that briefly?
23	A. (Witness reviewing document.)

Okay. And in that letter, did Mr. Hamed say these Q.

figures have not been agreed to?

24

- A. Yes, they have been agreed to.
- Q. No, no. I'm just asking you whether he -- you read this letter at that time --
 - A. Oh, yeah, yeah. I read this letter.
 - Q. And you understood him to be telling you, These figures have not been agreed to?
 - A. I understand what he's saying, yeah.
 - Q. Okay. And did you also understand him to say that there were no attachments; that he received Exhibit 144, not Exhibit 146?
 - A. Right. He said there was no attachment.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- A. But he did receive the attachment in an earlier date. I don't think I need to produce that attachment with the letter I gave him. And matter -- matter of fact, I did produce that, this package --
- Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. -- in Mr. Holt's office at that time.
- 19 Q. At some other time.
 - A. With all the -- with all attorneys there, and Waleed agreed to it.
 - Q. At some other time.
- 23 **A.** All he said is, he agreed to the 1.6, and the 24 receipts, all he had to do is add them up, and if we took 25 them, it's fine. It's correct.

1 Q. Okay. 2 So I didn't need his approval. 3 0. I'm -- I'm not suggesting you did. I'm just 4 suggesting, asking you about what you read at the time 5 that -- that he sent you this letter. 6 And you did understand him to say that, There 7 are numerous other funds that have to be included in any such calculations before disbursements can be made. You 8 understood that that was his objection, right? 9 10 Α. Yeah, yeah, yeah. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. But why he has an objection? I don't know. 13 Ο. 14 You don't know. Α. I just was looking at your letter where he said 15 Q. you don't dispute the validity of it. 16 17 Α. Hmm. And your letter -- your earlier letter says that 18 0. it came with attachments, but you said that there weren't 19 20 any attachments with it. Oh, maybe -- well, the attachments was given at an 21 Α. 22 earlier date, yes. 2.3 Ο. At some other time? 2.4 Α. Couple weeks before.

Oh, okay.

Q.

1 Α. Just maybe a week -- actually, a week or ten days 2 before that. 3 Okay. And if those attachments only add up to 300,000, whatever the number I gave you was, that was just 4 5 an error, right? 6 That's your calculation, not my calculation. 7 0. No, I understand. If they do --Α. They don't. 8 9 Okay. Q. 10 Because after I heard the testimony yesterday, --Α. 11 Q. Yes. 12 Α. -- I went back and recalculated this, and actually I shorted myself 400-something dollars, or 300-something, 13 14 \$400. 15 Okay. You added the -- the ones that are attached Q. here? 16 17 Α. Yes. And they -- okay. In Document 146, you re-added 18 Q. those? 19 20 Α. Yes, I did. Okay. Now, I want to hand you a document, 148. 21 Q. 22 Now, when you received Document 147, okay? 23 Α. Yeah. 2.4 When you received 147, the one -- the earlier one Q. 25 we looked at, Waleed Hamed had said, There are numerous

other funds that have to be included in any such calculations before any disbursements can be made.

2.4

Did you -- did you consider the possibility that since you had only done math on the East store, that the actual amount might be millions due to the Hameds, or did you not consider that?

- A. I know there were -- there's -- it's not owed millions to the Hameds. If anybody owes anybody, the Hameds owe the Yusufs.
- Q. But -- but did you understand that Wally -- Wally Hamed was saying to you, There are other sets of numbers out there -- the ones that deal with St. Thomas, the ones that deal with the West store -- that you're not including in these calculations?
- A. I didn't include this in the calculation, but if Mr. Hamed was taking funds from the store and getting receipts, wasn't I supposed to get the funds in replace of that? Is it from the partnership?

I mean, what -- who's Wally to tell me that he disagrees, --

- Q. Well, you sent him -- you sent him a set of --
- A. -- when he already -- when he already took -- when he already took the funds?
- Q. You sent him a set of calculations reflecting only the disbursements that you were claiming were owed to you,

your family, and your family owed it in response, with regard to one store. You didn't include the calculations for two other stores. You didn't include, for instance, the Dorothea property, did you?

- A. I have no dealings with the Dorothea property.
- Q. At the -- at the time, you heard your father testify yesterday that he owed somewhere in the range of \$800,000 subject --
- A. That would go to an accounting issue between the families. That has nothing to do with the \$2.7 million. I was in charge of the safe in East store, and I needed to clear the East store.
 - Q. I thought you were --
- A. There was a one -- 2 million and -- \$2,000,900 that was floating in the air that I only have Wally's words on it, word on it --
 - Q. Okay.

2.3

2.4

- A. -- that I'm -- my father told me that he got from a Arab gathering that they was, while they was having the dispute, that Wally agreed to that figure.
- Q. Okay. If you'd look back at Attachment A now in the Notice of Deposition, that was Exhibit 1, I'd like to move on now to Topic No. 8, the present financials of United.
 - A. Wait. Excuse me. Let's go back to here. You

said we had a dispute of -- you didn't understand this. 1 2 said it was three hundred and something thousand dollars? 3 Ο. Uh-huh. 4 Α. Then the 1 million-something? Let's correct that. 5 Q. Well, you said -- you've already corrected it. 6 You said that our calculation is wrong. 7 Α. Your calculation is wrong, yes. Q. That's what you've said. 8 9 Α. Right. 10 Yes. Q. But I want to explain to you where you guys are 11 Α. 12 getting that 300-something and where I'm getting the 1 million-something. 13 14 It's fine, if you want to? Ο. Yeah, I would love to. 15 Α. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. I would love to, because this ledger actually ends in 1997. 18 19 Q. Uh-huh. 20 Wally's signature is right here (indicating) --Α. Uh-huh. 21 Q. 22 Α. -- up to 1/15/97, go back to '94. I believe '94, 23 if you do the years back. I never put a year on it. And 2.4 then from '97, that's where these receipts came in on.

25

Q.

Uh-huh.

- A. So calculate, I think it's the 624 with all the signatures that he has here initialed, and you'll get, I think, 800 and something. I don't have the tape. With the 300 and something in receipts, that gives you the 1,000,095 such and such, which I shorted myself after calculating it by 300 or \$400.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- A. All right?
- Q. So -- so you -- you believe these add up to one number, and our calculation says something else. So we -- we have a disagreement.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine.
- A. And why he never confront me, after giving him this, and tell him I need -- I need to get the money out of this. I need to clear myself.
- Q. Okay. In Topic No. 8, it discusses the present financials of United, included but not limited to funds removed from the Plaza Extra Supermarket operating accounts by Fathi or Mike Yusuf, without agreement of Hamed, in 2012 and 2013, such as the 2.7.
- And you recognize that as the 2.7 we just discussed, right?
 - A. You want to talk about it again?
 - Q. No, no.

1 Α. I have no problem with talking about it. 2 Q. No, no. I just --3 Α. Because I am so clear about that. 4 Q. Okay. 5 And I so clear about that I gave him so much money Α. 6 that I'm pulling this money. 7 Okay. Okay. Now, Topic No. 9 is, The present 8 financials of Plaza Extra Supermarkets operating accounts by defendant without agreement of Hamed after April 15th, 2013? 9 10 MR. HODGES: It's Topic No. 8. 11 MR. HARTMANN: No. 9. 12 THE WITNESS: No. 9. 13 MR. HODGES: Okay. 14 MR. HARTMANN: Yeah, we've -- we've agreed 15 that we discussed No. 8. 16 MR. HODGES: Okay. 17 MR. HARTMANN: Okay. (Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was 18 marked for identification.) 19 20 Q. (Mr. Hodges) Now, I'd like to hand you an exhibit that I'm going to mark Plaintiff's Exhibit 2. 21 Okay. This is not Bates numbered. It's a 22 23 document entitled Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's 2.4 Motion to Compel Defendants' Motion to Comply with the 25 Preliminary Injunction, and I'd ask you if you recognize

1 this document. 2 Do you -- do you recognize this as a document 3 that you participated in the drafting of, and submitted to 4 the court in this case? 5 Α. I believe so. This is just one document of many. Okay. Did you, yourself, give an affidavit and 6 Ο. 7 a -- a declaration to support this document? If you want to look about halfway through the document, you'll see. 8 sorry it's not Bates numbered. You'll find the declaration. 9 10 Yes. Α. Okay. Now, can you describe to me how the 11 Ο. 12 financials of United Corporation -- strike that. 13 Can you describe how the financials of 14 Plaza Extra Supermarkets are kept by computer? Just very 15 briefly. Just an overview. 16 In each store, we have accounts payable, accounts Α. receivable, and we have a person that generates these 17 18 things. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Α. A person who reconciles. And -- and do you know the -- the -- the name of 21 Q. 22 the accounting software that's used by the Plaza Extra? 2.3 Α. I've -- I've learned that from you in the 2.4 readings, it's Sage 50. 25 Q. Okay.

I thought it was Peachtree, but I guess we -- we 1 Α. 2 upgraded. 3 Q. I quess so. 4 And -- and this the Peachtree -- the Sage 50 5 system, it handles all accounts receivable, all accounts 6 payable, all payroll, asset calculations, all that financial 7 information? 8 Α. I believe so. I'm -- you know. 9 Ο. Okay. 10 Α. I have -- I believe so. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Α. I'm not an expert in that. Okay. And so if I wanted to, if I was ordering 13 Q. 14 stuff and I wanted to know how much I paid a particular supplier last week, I would look it up in the -- in the Sage 15 16 50 system, is that correct? 17 Α. No, I'll ask the girls to tell me that. I'll tell 18 her the vendor --19 Ο. I see. 20 -- and the month, --Α. 21 And --Q. 22 Α. -- and she'll pull it up for me. 23 Q. And you wouldn't know how to do that yourself, 2.4 then? 25 No, I don't. Α.

1 Q. Okay. You can't operate the Sage 50 system? 2 Α. No. 3 Ο. Are you -- are you capable of going in and looking 4 up information in it? 5 Α. No. 6 So there would be no point in your having a Ο. 7 password to it, would there? 8 Α. No. 9 Ο. And you don't have a password. 10 No, I don't. Α. 11 Okay. Who does? Q. 12 Α. John Gaffney, for one. 13 Ο. Yeah. 14 Okay. He oversees everything. Α. 15 Q. Okay. 16 In each store, each girl has their password to Α. 17 their work station and what they -- what is -- what's in 18 their computers. I believe we have -- I know I can say for West, we have Sage 50 on the -- on the computers for the two 19 20 girls. 21 Uh-huh. Q. 22 So I believe it's -- it's -- I don't know how, you 23 know, it works, but it's Internet acts -- interacts with

Q. Uh-huh.

each other.

2.4

- A. I don't know how Sage 50, I just learned what John tells me. I leave it up to him.
- Q. Okay. And -- and does your father have a password?
 - A. (Witness shakes head).
 - Q. No? I'm sorry?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And none of the other managers have a password?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. So nobody in management has a password?
- A. Not that I know of, no.
- Q. Okay. I'd ask you to turn to the third page of your affidavit, your declaration, I mean, says Page 3 of 3?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd ask you to look at Paragraph 10 with me. The Hameds have a true copy of all financial information United has. United is unwilling to provide Wally Hamed live, unrestricted access to the accounting system, given that there's no need for live, unrestricted access to perform his daily responsibilities, and such access would be greater than Hamed, or any Hamed manager, had in the past. Such access is simply unnecessary.

Further, the fact that there are numerous lawsuits pending against Waleed Hamed, Mufeed Hamed, Waheed Hamed, Hisham Hamed, for accounting of funds belonging to

1 United, provided additional concern for allowing 2 unrestricted live access. 3 You see that? Is that a correct statement? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And tell me again what's on this computer system. Q. 6 Are the financials of United Corporation on the computer 7 Are the tenant accounts on that system? I'm not sure. I'm not sure. 8 Α. You -- do you think that perhaps the tenant 9 Ο. 10 accounts are on the system? 11 Α. I'm not sure. 12 Q. Oh. I can't. 13 Α. 14 You don't know? 0. 15 I don't know. I never -- I never got on and --Α. and checked. I don't know. 16 17 Q. So you --I don't -- I don't -- I don't know. I don't know. 18 Α. You don't believe that knowing how to use the 19 Ο. system is important to managing the store? 20 21 Α. That's what you have comptrollers for. Okay. And did you testify in the preliminary 22 Ο. 2.3 injunction hearing in this case? 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And did you testify that it was important Q.

1 for someone to know how to understand the Sage 50, what you 2 called then the Peachtree system? 3 The person who's hired to do the job. So it wouldn't be important for either you or 4 Ο. 5 anybody else in management to know how to run the system? 6 Α. No. 7 Okay. So any testimony, prior testimony, would 0. have been incorrect? 8 9 MR. HODGES: Objection. I don't think that --10 Α. 11 Q. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. MR. HODGES: Are you going -- you want to 12 13 show him his prior testimony --14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 15 MR. HODGES: -- to ask him if it's correct or 16 not? 17 Q. (Mr. Hodges) No, I'm simply asking if he testified previously. 18 I didn't testify that. 19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. I don't think I did testify to that. 21 Α. 22 Q. Now, has -- has Mohammad Hamed requested the 23 passwords to this system? 2.4 Α. Mohammad Hamed? 25 Through his agent, Wally? Q.

1	No, has Mohammad Hamed requested through his
2	counsel?
3	A. I believe so. Yeah. Yeah, yeah, you did. Yes.
4	Q. And did Wally Hamed request it?
5	A. I I yes, I think so. I believe so.
6	I'm not sure.
7	Q. Okay. And did Mr. Mohammad Hamed's lawyers
8	request it?
9	A. Yeah. Yes.
LO	Q. And were they told that they would be given
L1	passwords, do you know?
L2	A. I don't recall we said we were going to give
L3	passwords. It was not necessary to give passwords.
L4	Q. Because the because United is unwilling to
L5	provide them passwords, is that correct?
L 6	A. Unwilling to provide them passwords?
L7	Q. Right.
L8	A. It's unnecessary to have passwords.
L 9	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\cdot}}$ But the Court ordered that they be given equal
20	access to the accounting system.
21	MR. HODGES: Objection as to what the Court
22	ordered. You want to show him the order?
23	THE WITNESS: Can I answer that, please?
24	MR. HODGES: Sure.
25	A. They have equal access. They do have equal

1 access. 2 0. (Mr. Hodges) Could they -- could they tell people 3 to not give you the passwords? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. They could? 6 Α. Yeah. 7 0. How? 8 Α. 'Cause I don't have the password. I never inquired -- inquired it. And I think --9 10 No, I want to know they --Q. -- if I don't have the password, I don't think 11 12 they should have the password. I understand that, but you just said they could 13 Q. 14 tell the girls at the store not to give you the passwords? 15 I don't know if the girls would give anybody the password. If they giving up their password for their 16 17 computer, that mean they're giving up -- their right for anybody to go in there. 18 Well, could they tell John Gaffney not to give you 19 Ο. 20 the password? 21 I believe they could tell anybody anything. Α. 22 Q. Would John Gaffney, as an employee of Plaza Extra 23 Supermarkets, have to -- to listen to them if they told him 2.4 t.hat.?

He's been listening to them.

25

Α.

- Q. Okay. So if -- if they told Mr. Gaffney not to give you passwords, Mr. Gaffney would not have to give you the passwords?
- A. I believe he won't give me the password. I can't talk to him.
- Q. Okay. And who within United made the decision not to give the partner of Plaza Extra Supermarkets the passwords to the Plaza Extra Supermarkets' own accounting system?
 - A. John Gaffney decided not to give it to anybody.
- Q. And does -- does John Gaffney take his orders from you or from the Hameds?
 - A. From me.
 - Q. From you?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Q. And only from you?
- A. Well, in certain things. Certain things, I guess because I brought him into the company. But he -- if the Hameds wants anything from John, they -- they -- he's been providing them with whatever he needed -- whatever they needed.
- Q. But -- but can they -- can they tell him what to do?
 - A. They been telling him what to do.
 - Q. Do they have the authority to tell him what to do?

1 Could they say, John, give me the password? 2 Α. They could tell him whatever they want. 3 0. But would he have to do it? 4 Α. That's up to John. 5 You mean John has the authority to decide who to Q. give the passwords to? 6 7 Who gave him that authority? I -- you know --8 Α. He's -- John's not a partner, is he? 9 Ο. 10 No, he's not a partner, but he was hired to Α. protect -- to protect the interests of United Corporation. 11 12 Ο. I understand that. 13 I mean, he can't just give passwords to anybody. 14 I mean --15 If Mr. Mohammad is --0. Wait, wait. Time out. Time out. 16 Α. 17 MR. HODGES: Wait a minute. Let him answer 18 his question. Time out. Okay? 19 Α. 20 Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. He came on to make sure we have proper accounting 21 Α. 22 system, because we are indicted from a federal case, and 23 he's making sure that nobody gets to those accounting work 2.4 product that he's doing, or whatever he's doing. He cannot 25 be giving out. We have an instance, we had a time that in

1 St. Thomas, we had a controller, and we lost a computer. 2 And because of one of the Hameds had access to that, or 3 tried to have access to it, and that's why he's very particular of who he give his password to. 4 5 Q. (Mr. Hodges) Is Mohammad Hamed a partner in the partnership? 6 7 MR. HODGES: Objection. We've gone over that a million times, Carl. 8 (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. Mr. Hamed is a partner in 9 Ο. 10 the partnership. Can he tell Mr. Gaffney to give him a 11 password? 12 Α. He can try. But if Mr. Gaff --13 Ο. 14 He can tell him whatever he wants. I mean, 15 Mr. Mohammad is more than welcome to come to the store any 16 time and say anything he wants. 17 0. But he has directed Mr. Gaffney to give him a 18 password, and he hasn't given him a password, has he? You just said that. 19 20 Mr. Mohammad? Α. 21 Q. Yes. I don't think Mr. Mohammad is speaking here. 22 Α. 23 Mr. Mohammad and his attorney sent a letter to Q. 2.4 Mr. Gaffney, telling him to give them a password, didn't

25

they?

```
1
           Α.
                If Mr. Mohammad doesn't come there and ask him
 2
      himself, he's not going to give it. I mean, I don't have
 3
      access to it.
 4
                     MR. HARTMANN: We have to break.
 5
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record at
 6
      12:23.
 7
                           (Noon recess taken.)
 8
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record at
      1:23.
 9
10
                      (Deposition Exhibit No. 3 was
11
                       marked for identification.)
                      (Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was
12
                       marked for identification.)
13
14
                      (Deposition Exhibit No. 5 was
15
                       marked for identification.)
16
           Q.
                (Mr. Hartmann) I'm going to give you, hand you
17
      Exhibits 3, 4 and 5, and ask you if you'd just take a look
18
      at them?
                     I believe these are examples of the kind of
19
      receipts we were talking about earlier. I just want to ask
20
      you a couple quick questions.
21
22
           Α.
                Sure.
2.3
                First of all, let's look at 3, which I believe is
           Q.
2.4
      a receipt that has Bates No. HAMD594676. Okay? And it's
25
      dated 4/15/03, is it not?
```

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Okay. Just tell me what this is, and what the
3	transactio	on would have been regarding this document.
4	A.	I have no idea.
5	Q.	Was this one of the receipts that you were talking
6	about?	
7	A.	Yeah.
8	Q.	Just tell me what's going on here.
9	A.	I believe I pulled the money from the store for
10	this.	
11	Q.	And and which store would this have been, if
12	this was	in 4/15/03?
13	A.	I don't know.
14	Q.	Is there any way to tell whether this was pulled
15	at Plaza I	Extra East or Plaza Extra West?
16	A.	This should be in Plaza Extra West. This is in
17	'03. So -	
18	Q.	Yeah. Can you tell on the face of this, though?
19	A.	I just know from the date.
20	Q.	Okay. So just tell me what happened, briefly.
21	A.	First of all, this is not my handwriting.
22	Q.	Okay.
23	A.	Okay? I signed it for money that I took from the
24	store.	
25	Q.	Is that your signature?

1 Α. That's what I just said. 2 Ο. It is your handwriting? 3 Α. I signed it. 4 Okay. So just to go back over very guickly, on Q. 5 April 15th of 2003, you took from the cash room \$92,072, and 6 whoever, someone other than you, distributed it. 7 Do you know who that would have been? was in charge of the --8 If it's '03, it has to be Hisham. 9 Α. 10 Okay. And -- and to show that you in fact did 0. take that money, you signed it. 11 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Okay. And do you recall what this 92,000 was for? Ο. 14 If you recall? 15 Α. I don't recall, no. Okay. If you'd now take a look at 4, and just do 16 Q. 17 the same thing for me. Just tell me what -- what's happening there. 18 Oh, 4? Yes. What's wrong with 4? 19 Α. 20 Q. Just -- just tell me what this is, the document It's Bates No. HAMD594275? 21 is. 22 Α. I took 750, and I signed for it, a receipt. 23 Okay. And where it says account name, what does Q. it say there? 24

Says, track hoe.

25

Α.

1 Q. What is, track hoe house? 2 Α. It's a type of equipment. 3 0. Oh, track hoe. 4 MS. JAPINGA: Oh. 5 (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. And same thing for No. 5. Q. Just explain. It's a document dated 1/28/12. It's Bates 6 7 No. HAMD594283. What is the Hameds trying to do with this? 8 Α. is a fabrication or something? 9 10 No, is this your signature? Q. That's my signature. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. This is a receipt that I took from downstairs. I 13 14 remember this. This has a fold in the middle, and it's 15 supposed to be in the -- in a petty downstairs. 16 In a what? Q. 17 In a petty cash drawer downstairs in the small Α. safe. 18 19 Ο. Who -- who has control of the cash -- where, at the West store? 20 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. And who has --23 Which next to it has a check for, I don't know the Α. 2.4 amount of it, for 2,000, there's a check for 2,000 that he 25 took, Hisham took, and some other -- another check or a

1 receipt, I believe, in that same drawer. 2 Why is that not produced today? 3 Ο. I'm just using these as -- as examples of types of 4 receipts? 5 Α. Correct. 6 Q. Okay. And this one is from 1/28/12, right? 7 Α. Yeah. Okay. And now, is there anywhere -- the three 8 Q. that we've just looked at, Exhibits 3, 4 and 5, --9 10 Α. Uh-huh. -- is there anywhere that these are written down 11 Ο. 12 in any kind of ledger? 13 Α. No. 14 Okay. Are they still outstanding? Ο. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Has there been any reconciliation of them? Q. 17 Α. No. Okay. Do they appear in the -- the letter 18 Q. that you sent to Mr. Hamed regarding the \$2.7 million? 19 20 Α. No. So these would be monies that would be due from 21 Q. 22 the Yusuf family to the Hamed family that were not included in the \$2.7 million letter, is that correct? 23 2.4 Α. These are not included in that. 25 So if tomorrow Mr. Hamed decided that, Q. Okay.

1 or -- or Wally, as his agent, decided that he didn't like 2 the fact that you had drawn out \$95,000 from the West store, 3 could he go out and write himself a check for \$95,000 4 without your permission? 5 Α. No. 6 0. Why couldn't he do that? 7 We have a court order. Α. 8 Oh, well, okay. Well, that's a good answer. Q. Would you sign that document? 9 10 Α. No. Why not? 11 Q. 12 Α. We have a court order. 13 Well, no, the doc -- the court order says you can Q. 14 both sign the document? 15 Α. Right. 16 Is he owed the money? Q. 17 Α. Who? 18 Q. Mr. Hamed. Is he owed the money? 19 Α. 20 Is he owed \$95,000 based on --Q. Yeah, but there's some accounting differences 21 Α. 22 between both families that's still outstanding. 2.3 But you -- you could withdraw 2.3 million when you Q. 2.4 thought you were right, right? 25 I told you, I went back to the East store because Α.

- 1 of certain type -- because of a certain occasion that some 2 receipts was destroyed by the Hameds, and some receipts was 3 destroyed by the Yusufs. 4 Ο. But at the time --5 Okay? I went back to the East store to clear that Α. 2.7 million. To clear the outstanding stuff. 6 7 But you didn't clear --0. I still have accounting -- I still have 8 Α. accounting, they still have receipts in the safe either in 9 10 all three stores, and we have receipts in all three stores. Okay. Let's turn again to Exhibit 1, which is the 11 12 deposition notice, and the subjects that we're reviewing. And if we could now go to No. 10, The means and transactions 13 14 for the removal of funds from Plaza Extra Supermarkets, and 15 subsequent purchase of real property presently held in 16 corporations or other entities. 17 Okay. When -- yesterday, if I understood your father's testimony, I understood him to say that when 18 the \$2.7 million was -- was -- that we discussed earlier 19 20 today was removed by the Hameds --And first of all, who -- who specifically 21 22 removed the \$2.7 million? 2.3
 - MR. HODGES: Objection.

2.4

- (Mr. Hartmann) Who, actually, the transaction? Q.
 - MR. HODGES: Objection. You said the 2.7

1 were removed by the Hameds. 2 MR. HARTMANN: Oh, I'm sorry. I misspoke. 3 Strike that question. Ο. (Mr. Hartmann) The 2.7 million removed by the 4 5 Yusufs, who actually physically carried out that 6 transaction? 7 Α. I did. Okay. And I believe your father testified that --8 Ο. that the money is still in a United account. 9 10 MR. HODGES: I would object to your recollection. If -- if you can ask him if he recalls --11 12 Ο. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. Do you -- do you recall? 13 Well, let's -- strike that question. 14 Where is the 2.7 million that was withdrawn? I -- I -- I don't know. 2.7 was moved over to the 15 account, the tenant account, and --16 17 Q. Okay. -- they went for -- 2.7 was not something that I 18 used for any specific thing. It was just put in the 19 20 account. Is it still in the account? 21 Ο. 22 Α. I haven't checked the account to see how much is 2.3 in that account, to tell you if it's in there or not. I 2.4 mean, that account is an active account. We collect rents. 25 Did you testify at the preliminary injunction it Q.

_	
1	was used to buy things, like a mattress company?
2	A. I testified, but I corrected myself, when I
3	said when I said we used it for property and different
4	things.
5	Q. Right.
6	A. And then I said I used it and then I corrected
7	myself in that testimony saying that I, when it was property
8	available, there's money in the account, I used it.
9	Q. And did you say that you bought other things like
10	the mattress company, but that you never spent moved it
11	out of the United States?
12	A. Never moved what out of the United States?
13	Q. That was your testimony, that you had that you
14	had used it to buy things.
15	What sort of things?
16	Like the mattress company, but we never moved
17	it out of the United States.
18	A. It's an operating account for the tenant.
19	MR. HODGES: Wait a minute. Objection. You
20	were just recounting the various alleged portions of his
21	testimony? Is that what the question
22	MR. HARTMANN: I'm asking him if he recalls
23	giving that testimony.
24	MR. HODGES: Well, that was like three
25	different types of testimony. Which which one?

No, it was all-in-one 1 MR. HARTMANN: 2 response. 3 MR. HODGES: Please repeat the question. MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Is that an objection? 4 5 If so, what's the objection? 6 MR. HODGES: Yeah. It was a compound 7 question, how about that? MR. HARTMANN: Okay. That's good. 8 (Mr. Hodges) Do you recall giving testimony in 9 Ο. 10 your initial preliminary injunction testimony on the first day of the hearing in which you told the Court that the 2.7 11 12 had been used to buy three pieces of property? 13 Α. I believe I remembered that, yes. Okay. And when you were cross-examined on the 14 Ο. 15 second day of the preliminary injunction hearing, you were shown documents that proved that that wasn't true; that that 16 17 initial testimony was not true, weren't you? I don't recall. I don't know. 18 Α. You weren't shown deeds? 19 Ο. 20 Α. Oh, I was shown deeds, yeah. Yeah. And it turned out that the 2.7 was not used 21 Q. 22 for that land. That the land had been bought before the 2.7 2.3 was taken out, right? 2.4 Α. It was not bought for land. I don't know if it 25 was bought for land or -- or bought for land. I'm not sure.

1 I'm not sure. I think it was in the -- in the general fund. 2 It didn't matter which, whether if I bought land, what 3 money. The money belongs to United. But on the second day of testimony, isn't it true 4 Q. 5 that you said that it wasn't used to buy land? It wasn't used to buy land? 6 Α. 7 Ο. It was not used to buy land. I don't remember saying that. 8 Α. 9 Do you remember saying that it was not only not Q. 10 used to buy land, but it was used to buy other things? MR. HODGES: I would object. 11 12 Thank you. 13 MR. HARTMANN: I'm going to show you -you're welcome, Counsel. And feel free to interject 14 15 whenever you wish in the examination. 16 MR. HODGES: Thank you. 17 MR. HARTMANN: Certainly. (Deposition Exhibit No. 133 was 18 marked for identification.) 19 20 Q. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 133, and I direct your attention to Page 118 of 21 that document. 22 2.3 The first page of the exhibit bears Bates 2.4 No. HAMD261005. It continues through to HAMD261157, and 25 Page 118 bears Bates No. HAMD261122.

1 You were asked the following question at 2 Line 17: 3 Okay. So you testified in front of this court last week that you used it to buy three pieces of 4 5 property. 6 Your answer was: Yes, I did. 7 Did you testify to that? Did you testify, Yes, I did? 8 Yes, I did. 9 Α. 10 Okay. Then you were asked the question: Q. Would you agree now that that isn't true, is 11 12 it? You couldn't use it to purchase these three pieces of 13 property, could you? 14 And you answered what? Did you answer, It 15 was part of either one or two properties? 16 Α. Yes. And then the question was, Well, you see one 17 Ο. property that's dated in -- the last property is dated in 18 December 17th of 2012, so you could have used it to purchase 19 20 that property, correct? Is that correct? 21 And you said, Yeah, I could have. Then you 22 said, But that's the --2.3 Question: But that's the only property that 2.4 you could have used those funds to purchase, isn't that 25 true?

1	And what did you say to that? Did you say, I
2	wasn't looking if it was the 2.7 to replace properties. I
3	wasn't doing that. The property was available, I had the
4	funds, and I paid for it?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Okay. And then you were asked:
7	Okay. Let's get back to the question. What
8	did you do with the 2.7 million that you removed, that was
9	removed from the Plaza Extra Supermarket account into the
10	United account? What was it used for?
11	And did you respond: Some properties and
12	whatever else?
13	A. Right.
14	Q. Okay. And you were asked: You haven't used it to
15	purchase properties over you haven't used it to purchase
16	properties overseas?
17	And you said: Oh, no.
18	Is that correct?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Then you were asked: Have you used it to invest
21	in other businesses, like the mattress business or things
22	like that?
23	And did you say: Yes, I did?
24	A. Oh, yeah.
25	That's what I said here.

1	Q. Okay. And then you were asked: And were those
2	businesses in the name of United Corporation, and you said
3	no.
4	Did you testify to that, that they that
5	the properties and the other things purchased were not in
6	the name of United Corporation?
7	A. I made a mistake here.
8	MR. HODGES: Objection. Objection. That's
9	not what the question was.
LO	MR. HARTMANN: Just jump right in, Counsel.
L1	MR. HODGES: I can make an objection whenever
L2	I want to, Mr. Hartmann.
L3	MR. HARTMANN: That's certainly, yeah. Go
L4	ahead. Make it.
L5	MR. HODGES: Read the question correctly.
L 6	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. You used it to invest in
L7	other businesses, like the mattress business or things like
L8	that?
L 9	You said: Yes, I did.
20	And were those businesses in the name of
21	United?
22	And you said: No.
23	A. That's
24	Q. Is that correct?
25	A. That's incorrect.

1	Q. That is not correct? You didn't say that?	
2	A. I said that, but I made a mistake.	
3	Q. Wait. I thought the first time you testified to	
4	the Court on the first day, you said you bought three	
5	properties. I thought you told the Court the second time	
6	that that was a mistake, and that this was the truth?	
7	A. Uh-huh.	
8	Q. Now you're saying this isn't the truth, either?	
9	A. Well, it's the difference between United, if the	
10	if it was bought in United's name or whatever, it's all	
11	in United's name.	
12	Q. So this is correct, that what you bought I'm	
13	sorry. I'm confused. Explain it to me. The 2.7 is used to	
14	buy businesses. Is United does it say on the top of	
15	whatever those businesses are, like the mattress let's	
16	take the mattress business.	
17	Is the mattress business owned is its	
18	stock owned by United Corporation?	
19	MR. HODGES: Objection.	
20	A. Yes.	
21	MR. HODGES: Relevance.	
22	Q. (Mr. Hodges) The stock of the mattress company	
23	says United Corporation on it?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Okay. And the land that was bought with it was	

1 bought in United's name? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. Okay. So this testimony was not true? 4 MR. HODGES: Objection. That's exactly what 5 it says. 6 MR. HARTMANN: No. It says, Were those 7 businesses in the name of United Corporation? He said, No. (Mr. Hartmann) Now you're changing your answer, 8 Ο. 9 no, to yes? 10 Α. Yes. So this wasn't true. What you told the Court the 11 Ο. 12 second time you told the story wasn't true. Am I human or not? I can't make a mistake? 13 Α. 14 I'm just asking for a yes or no. 0. 15 And I just corrected myself. Α. Okay. So when you told the Court that the 16 Q. 17 2.7 million was used to buy three properties, that was an error on the first day under oath, right? 18 19 That was an error. That was wrong. 20 Α. Listen, I corrected myself on the second day, saying that --21 22 Q. You corrected --23 I used -- the money was put in a general fund, in Α. 2.4 the tenant account. What I used the money for, I don't I don't -- I don't -- I'm not -- I don't have the 25 remember.

1 dates and where and when and how. 2 Ο. Did -- did you invest -- now, on Page -- on the previous page, at Line 21, you said: Have you used it to 3 4 invest in other businesses like the mattress business? 5 Did you use it to invest in other businesses like the mattress business, or is that untrue also? 6 7 MR. HODGES: Objection to argumentative. What is my -- what is my answer? 8 Α. (Mr. Hartmann) Did you use it to invest in other 9 Ο. businesses like the mattress business? 10 Α. What difference does it make? 11 12 Q. Did you testify previously that, yes, you did use it to invest in other businesses like the mattress business? 13 14 I used the money to do whatever was necessary to 15 do with it when I needed to. 16 Q. Okay. If it's the 2.7, if it's 10 million, or if it's 17 Α. 5 million, whatever it is. 18 19 Q. Okay. 20 It was out of the tenant account. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 Α. We're done with this? 2.3 Q. Yep. 2.4 Can you explain to me the 2.7 that Wally took Α. before, what did he use the \$2.7 million for? He used it 25

1 for his house. He used it for his cars. Mohammad used it 2 for his house. He used it for his houses back home. 3 several other things, taken from the same operation money of 4 the Plaza Extra. 5 What is the difference? Ο. If you'd look at Exhibit, once again, 6 7 Exhibit No. 1, the -- the Schedule A, which is the topics. I'd like to look now at --8 And let me add something else to that, too. What 9 Α. 10 about all of the money that Wally took out of the accounts, that's not accounted for? 11 12 Q. Okay. We talked about Topic No. 13, which is the capitalization of Plaza Extra Supermarkets. 13 14 I believe you told me that no money was put 15 into the supermarkets after the initial capitalization in 16 1986? 17 Α. I can't answer that. Okay. And we talked about 14, which is loans. 18 0. Okay. We talked about 15, additional? 19 20 We talked about 14? Α. Yeah, we did. 21 Q. 22 Α. What we did say about that? I asked -- I asked --2.3 Q. 2.4 Loans obtained by United for the benefits of the Α.

25

Plaza Extra store.

- Q. Yeah. I asked you earlier if any loans taken out for the benefit of the Plaza Extra stores, either United, by United, or by your father, --
 - A. Uh-huh.

2.4

Q. -- were ever paid back from any source other than from the -- from the net profits of Plaza Extra

Supermarkets, and you said that they were all paid back from Plaza Extra Supermarkets. Okay?

Is that correct?

- A. Correct, because United has the collateral to be able to get these loans.
- Q. Okay. Let's now look at No. 16, United's tax returns.
- Now, as the president of United Corporation and as its designated representative here, are you responsible for the filing of -- of tax returns with the Internal Revenue Bureau of the Virgin Islands?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when those are done, do you -- do you review those documents before you -- you submit those to the IRB?
- A. I'm not an accountant -- an accountant to -- I'm not an accountant to respond to this.
- Q. No, I'm just asking if you, as the president of United, are the person who reviews the tax returns before

```
they're submitted?
 1
 2
                I -- I -- I look at them, but (indicating), and
      that's it.
 3
 4
                      (Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was
 5
                      marked for identification.)
                (Mr. Hartmann) I'm now handing you what is
 6
           Ο.
 7
      Exhibit 6.
                     Are these, in fact, the tax returns submitted
 8
      by United Corporation to the Virgin Islands Internal Revenue
 9
10
      Bureau on behalf of United Corporation for the years 2012 --
      excuse me -- 2002 through 2012?
11
12
                     MR. HODGES: Objection. I note that the
     package that I have in Exhibit 6 has a letter from Attorney
13
14
      Joel Holt to Attorney Joseph DiRuzzo and Nizar DeWood dated
15
      March 14. That certainly doesn't --
16
                     MR. HOLT: Just remove that.
17
                     MS. JAPINGA: Thank you.
18
           0.
                (Mr. Hodges) So is that -- are those the tax
      returns submitted from 2002 to 2012?
19
20
                     THE REPORTER: 2000 --
21
                     MR. HARTMANN: Two, to 2012.
22
           Α.
                Yes.
23
                (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. And the actual physical
           Q.
24
      forms themselves, as they were completed out, where were
25
      these obtained from?
```

1	MR. HODGES: Objection. Attorney-client		
2	privilege.		
3	Q. (Mr. Hodges) Did these not come from a CPA firm?		
4	A. I can't answer that.		
5	MR. HODGES: Objection. Objection.		
6	Attorney-client privilege.		
7	MR. HARTMANN: You can direct him not to		
8	answer on the basis of privilege.		
9	MR. HODGES: I direct him not to answer.		
10	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) So are you refusing to answer the		
11	question of where United Corporation got its tax returns?		
12	MR. HODGES: Yeah. Objection.		
13	MR. HARTMANN: Oh, okay.		
14	MR. HODGES: I'm instructing him not to		
15	answer that.		
16	MR. HARTMANN: Yeah, I was just asking the		
17	witness. You've instructed him.		
18	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) You're objecting. You're not		
19	answering, right?		
20	A. Object.		
21	Q. Okay. And and without characterizing where you		
22	got them, can you tell me who prepared them?		
23	MR. HODGES: Objection. Attorney-client		
24	privilege. Work product. I'm instructing him not to		
25	answer.		

1	MR. HARTMANN: Okay.		
2	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) So you're refusing to answer?		
3	A. I refuse to answer.		
4	Q. Okay. And once you got them, did you as the		
5	president of United review them?		
6	A. Answered that already. I answered that already,		
7	and my attorney answered you.		
8	Q. You did review them all?		
9	A. I looked them over.		
10	Q. Okay. And does this reflect income from the Plaza		
11	Extra Supermarkets?		
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. And could you point out to me where, in any of		
14	these documents, it reflects that Mr. Mohammad Hamed is		
15	entitled to one-half of the net profits of the Plaza Extra		
16	Supermarket income?		
17	A. I can't answer that. It it speaks for itself		
18	there.		
19	Q. Okay. Does it, in fact, show anywhere in here		
20	that one-half of the net profits belong to Mohammad Hamed?		
21	A. I'm not an expert to on taxes.		
22	$oldsymbol{Q}$. But do we agree that for the years 2002 to 2012,		
23	one-half of the net profits of Plaza Extra Supermarkets		
24	belongs to Mohammad Hamed?		
25	A. What years?		

1	Q. 2002 to			
2	A. Two to 2003?			
3	Q 2012?			
4	A. Are we only talking 2002 to 2003, or 2000 to 2010?			
5	Q. 2002 to 2012.			
6	A. I'm not an expert to go to. I'm not an			
7	accountant.			
8	Q. No, I'm not asking that. I'm asking you, as the			
9	president of United Corporation, whether United Corporation			
10	owes Mr. Mohammad Hamed the net profits of Plaza Extra			
11	Supermarkets for the years 2002 to 2012?			
12	MR. HODGES: Objection.			
13	A. I still			
14	MR. HODGES: That's not the that's not the			
15	question you were putting to him a moment ago.			
16	MR. HARTMANN: I'll ask it again.			
17	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) As the president and			
18	representative of United Corporation, does			
19	United Corporation owe to Mr. Mohammad Hamed the net profits			
20	of the Plaza Extra Supermarkets for the years 2002 to 2012?			
21	A. 2002 to 2012? We haven't arrived to a net profit			
22	yet. That's between my father and Mr. Mohammad Hamed. It's			
23	nothing to do with the taxes.			
24	Q. (Mr. Hodges) What do you mean, it's nothing to do			
25	with the taxes?			

1 Α. I mean, I'm not an accountant to explain -- I'm 2 not an accountant to explain accounting, accountant. 3 not an accountant. No, but you're the president of -- who signed 4 Q. 5 these documents? I signed them. 6 7 Okay. And in anywhere in here does it say that Mohammad Hamed is due one-half of the net profits to 8 Plaza Extra Supermarkets? 9 10 MR. HODGES: Objection. It's already been 11 answered. 12 Q. (Mr. Hartmann) You can answer. 13 I answered already. Α. 14 You can still --Ο. 15 I'm not an expert to -- I'm not an accountant. Α. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. Why don't you take a look at 16 Q. 17 the 2012 return. I do not want to talk about these taxes. 18 Α. 19 Q. I know you don't. 20 Α. I'm not going to discuss these taxes. But that's life in the big city. 21 Q. 22 Would you please pull the 2012 document? 2.3 It's the last one. And if you need some time to read over 2.4 this, that's fine. We can go off the record for a few 25 But I want you to point out to me in this document minutes.

1	where it says anything about a distribution to Mr. Mohammad			
2	Hamed of anything. Where he's mentioned anywhere in that			
3	document?			
4	A. I go back to you, and I'm not an accountant. I'm			
5	not an expert.			
6	Q. I'm not asking for an expert opinion. I'm asking			
7	you to show me anywhere in this document where it reflects			
8	that Mr. Mohammad Hamed is due one penny. That he's due a			
9	cent. Where it shows			
10	A. Well, United			
11	Q. Let me finish my question.			
12	where it shows me that you aren't trying			
13	to steal his money.			
14	MR. HODGES: Objection.			
15	You don't need to answer that question.			
16	MR. HARTMANN: Yes. Yes, he does.			
17	MR. HODGES: No.			
18	MR. HARTMANN: Yes, he does.			
19	MR. HODGES: No, he doesn't. That is a			
20	clear			
21	MR. HARTMANN: Are you claiming privilege?			
22	MR. HODGES: No. That you know			
23	A. You're accusing me now?			
24	Q. (Mr. Hodges) I'm asking you one place in here it			
25	doesn't show that the Yusufs are claiming a hundred percent			

```
1
      of all the profits of Plaza Extra Supermarkets.
 2
           Α.
                Okay.
 3
           0.
                Where it shows me that you aren't trying to steal
 4
      Mr. Hamed's partnership money.
 5
           Α.
                I'm not trying --
 6
           Ο.
                You told --
 7
                -- I'm not trying to steal nothing from
           Α.
 8
      Mr. Mohammad.
 9
           Q.
                Well, you told the IRB --
10
           Α.
                Uh-huh.
                -- that all of the income from Plaza Extra
11
           Ο.
12
      Supermarkets, all of it, --
13
           Α.
                Okay.
14
                -- was income to the Yusufs, didn't you? Doesn't
           Ο.
15
      that -- isn't that what this 2012 tax return says?
16
                Can you go back to 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990?
           Α.
17
      What happened to all those years up to present?
                Sir, can you answer my question?
18
           Q.
                Can you answer my question?
19
           Α.
20
           Q.
                No, I don't have to answer you.
                Okay. Well, I can't -- I am not -- I can't
21
           Α.
22
      discuss this.
2.3
           Q.
                You can't discuss this --
2.4
                You're only -- you're only --
           Α.
25
                -- or you won't discuss it?
           Q.
```

1 -- you're only going from 1992 to 1990 -- I mean 2 2002 to 2012. 3 Were you the president of United Corporation in 4 1986? 5 No, I wasn't. Α. 6 Okay. Were you the president of the Ο. 7 United Corporation in 2002? 8 Α. Yes. 2003? 9 Ο. 10 Α. Yes. 2004? 11 Q. 12 Α. Yes. And 1989, 1990, I believe. 13 Q. Sir, please answer my question. 14 1992, 1994. Α. 15 MR. HARTMANN: Will you direct your client to answer the questions, please? 16 17 Α. What is -- what is the difference between those years and this year? This is how United is filing its taxes 18 for twenty-eight years, and no different. 19 20 (Mr. Hartmann) Can you now answer the question? Q. I just answered the question. 21 Α. 22 Q. Isn't it true that for the years 2002 to 2012, United Corporation has sworn to the IRB that all of the 23 2.4 income from the Plaza Extra Supermarkets belongs to the United Corporation, and belongs to the -- in fact, is passed

25

1 through, since this is a Subchapter S after 2002, was in 2 fact passed through to the individual members of the Yusuf 3 family? 4 A. I -- I --5 MR. HODGES: Objection. Objection. These tax returns speak for themselves. They were vetted by 6 7 criminal counsel. 8 MR. HARTMANN: You can't say who they were vetted to. You -- you just asserted privilege as to where 9 10 these came from. You cannot say that. And you're not supposed to be talking. If you want to -- wait. We'll go 11 12 off the record. You can consult with your client, and we'll 13 come back onto the record. 14 MR. HODGES: I don't want to go off of the 15 record. 16 MR. FATHI YUSUF: Please go off of the 17 record, please. MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Will you -- will you 18 19 stop interrupting then and allow me to continue my examination? If you want to make an objection, make the 20 objection for the record, and we'll go on. 21 22 MR. HODGES: We'll take a break. 23 MR. HARTMANN: Okay. 2.4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 25 1:57.

1 (Short recess taken.) 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Going back on 3 record at 2:05. Ο. (Mr. Hodges) Okay. Several times during the 4 5 deposition, we talked about -- I don't -- I don't want to go into any discussion of the criminal matter in this case. I 6 7 just want to ask you, at what time, what date was the store 8 raided by the federal government? Α. 2001. 9 10 Q. 2001. And can you tell me, without going into 11 12 anything about the criminal case itself, how operations at 13 the store changed once the federal government was involved 14 with regard to the handling of money? 15 Α. The operation of the stores after the raid did not 16 change. 17 Ο. Well, for instance, could Mr. Hamed and Mr. Yusuf 18 take out money any time they wanted to after the raid on the 19 store? 20 With the approval of the -- I think in 2002 is when we had the Marshal Service, so the FBI there, and 21 22 nobody could pull anything without the approval of the 2.3 marshal. 2.4 Okay. And why was it that you couldn't pull money Q. 25 without the approval of the marshal? Was there something in

- place that stopped you from removing profits, the net profits, of Plaza Extra from the stores?
- A. No, it didn't stop us from -- it didn't stop us from doing the -- the normal things that we usually do, except the cash.
- Q. Right. But the cash, the actual profits from the operation of the Plaza Extra stores, that -- that went somewhere else after that date, didn't it?
 - A. Repeat the question.
- Q. Okay. After the -- you testified, I believe, that -- that a federal monitor was installed, is that correct?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. And the federal monitor that was installed, was he not, pursuant to a -- a restraining order that was issued by the Federal District Court?
- A. The restraining order came in about, I think, late 2003.
- Q. Okay. And from the date of that restraining order forward, where were -- where were you forced to put the profits of the Plaza Extra Supermarkets?
 - A. Where they were always put before.
- Q. Where -- where was that?
 - A. In the operating account of Plaza.
 - Q. They weren't put in a -- in a profits account?

1 Α. No. 2 0. There was no account with over \$40 million in it? 3 Α. No. 4 Let me help you out here. 5 Q. Okay. 6 What year are you talking about? Α. 7 I'm talking about after the mar -- you said you Ο. 8 couldn't take any cash out after the marshals came in. 9 Α. Right. 10 I'm just wondering where all the money was going? Q. 11 After the 2003? Α. 12 Q. Yeah, after? In the operating account. Until a period of time, 13 Α. I think, in '97 -- in 2007 or 2008, --14 15 Q. Okay. 16 -- we opened a security account. 17 Q. Okay. Just tell me about what went in there? First of all, where was it? 18 Banco Securities. 19 Α. 20 Q. Banco Popular Securities? Yeah. 21 Α. 22 Q. And what -- what branch, or who did you deal with 23 in terms of this account? 2.4 Α. I don't remember who was it at that time. 25 Okay. And -- and tell me how that worked. What Q.

1	went into that account?	
2	A. Plaza Extra profits went into that account.	
3	Q. Okay. And is that account still in existence	
4	today?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. Okay. And has money been drawn out of that	
7	account?	
8	A. Money was drawn out to pay taxes.	
9	Q. Okay. But but other than funds that were	
LO	approved by the federal court, no monies have been drawn out	
L1	of there?	
L2	A. No, not at all.	
L3	Q. Okay. Thank you.	
L4	A. Now, which accounts?	
L5	Q. The Banco Securities.	
L 6	A. I have two Banco Security accounts.	
L7	Q. Okay. Does one did one have approximately	
L8	\$44 million in it?	
L 9	A. That's the one you want to know about?	
20	Q. Yes.	
21	A. Only that one.	
22	Q. Is that where the profits are banked?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	$oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{\cdot}}$ Is there another one that has the profits of	
25	the	

1 Α. No. 2 Q. Okay. 3 Α. Because I, you know, I have to be clear with 4 you, --5 No, no, that's --Q. -- because you're using a lot of things against me 6 Α. 7 here --8 Q. No. 9 Α. -- that don't make sense to me. 10 Q. Okay. If I take \$2.7 million from an operating account 11 Α. 12 and put it in a tenant account because it's owed to me, and many more millions owed to me, I have a right to that money 13 14 to do whatever I want with it. Okay. The \$2.7 million, just so you don't think 15 I'm trying to change a six to a nine here, the 2.7 was in a 16 17 Plaza Extra Supermarkets operating account. I'm not talking about that account. I'm talking about the account that held 18 19 the Plaza Extra Supermarket net profits. 20 That was at Banco Popular Securities, is that 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And you testified that aside from monies Q. 2.4 authorized by the federal court, no funds have been 25 withdrawn for that account?

1 Α. No funds have been taken out. 2 0. Okay. 3 Α. Only for the federal case. 4 Q. Okay. That was Topic No. 20. I'm sorry. 5 We're not even halfway. Α. 6 I'm not going through all of them, believe me. Q. 7 Is there a dispute about the rent that is due from Plaza Extra Supermarkets as the tenant to 8 United Corporation as the landlord for the Plaza Extra East 9 store? 10 There's a dispute. The Hameds refused to pay. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. When you say, "the Hameds refused to pay," it's actually Plaza Extra Supermarkets that are refusing to 13 14 pay, right? That's the tenant. 15 Α. The Yusufs are not refusing. 16 Q. Okay. 17 The Hameds are. You said Plaza Extra is Α. partnership between Mohammad and Yusuf, right? 18 And since one of the partners is refusing to pay, 19 Ο. 20 the partnership isn't paying, is that correct? The partnership is not paying. 21 Α. 22 Q. Okay. 2.3 It's like everything else, he wants to --Α. 2.4 Q. Okay. And -- and as part of the -- that dispute 25 between Plaza Extra Supermarkets and United Corporation, is

it correct that -- that Plaza Extra Supermarkets has been sent, and Mohammad Hamed, has been sent a series of letters by United Corporation requesting the payment of the rent for the Plaza Extra East store?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. And who signs those letters?
- A. The month-to-month rent? I mean the month-to-month --
 - O. Yeah.
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And who drafts the letters?
- A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. Let's talk about the -- the Topic No. 24, which has to do with the termination of the partnership.

From United's perspective, can you -- can you tell me, basically, what United understands to be going on with regard to the partnership in terms of its termination or dissolution, or whatever is happening?

- A. That United wants to terminate its lease with the partnership.
- Q. Okay. That's United's relationship with the partnership, but does -- does United understand that the partnership is -- is going through -- that notices of termination have been given, or that it's going through dissolution, or anything like that?

1 What does United understand about what is 2 happening inside the partnership that is its tenant? That there's a lot of mistrust and a lot of monies 3 taken from one partner to the next. 4 5 Okay. And is -- is United involved in that in any Q. way? In other words, does United take a position about 6 7 whether the partnership exists or it doesn't exist, or it's been terminated, or it hasn't been terminated? 8 I mean, the United -- United is -- is an entity 9 Α. 10 that rents to the partnership. That's right. 11 Q. 12 Α. And it -- it -- United asked for its space back. 13 Regardless of what -- what's going on, United wants the 14 space back. 15 Okay. I understand that there's a landlord-tenant issue between United and the partnership. I'm simply asking 16 17 you whether United, the corporation, takes any position whether the partnership exists or it doesn't. Let's just 18 start with that. 19 20 Does United believe the partnership presently exists or does not, or does it take no position? 21 22 Α. No, there is -- there is a business agreement 23 between Mohammad and my father. 2.4 Q. And is it --

It does, we know that.

25

Α.

1 Q. Okay. And is it still in place today? 2 Α. It's still in place today. 3 Ο. Okay. 4 Α. It's been given notice to leave. 5 0. But that's from United. 6 Α. Uh-huh. 7 Okay. So -- so as -- as part of that, the fact Ο. that there's a dispute going on inside of the partnership, 8 at some point in the last year, as part of that dispute and 9 United's involvement of it, did -- were the police called 10 and asked to remove the Hameds from the store? 11 12 Α. No. 13 Ο. They weren't. Okay. 14 Were the police called to the store by either 15 United -- well, by you or your father? 16 By my father. Α. 17 Okay. And in what capacity was he acting when he Q. did that? Was he acting for United, or was he acting for 18 the partnership? 19 20 He's acting for the partnership. Α. Okay. So when he called --21 Q. 22 Α. And United. 23 Q. I'm sorry? 2.4 And United. The partnership and United. Α. 25 What was United -- why would United call the Q.

1	police?	
2	A. She's on the United's payroll.	
3	Q. Mafi the police were asked to remove Mafi	
4	Hamed, weren't they?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. Weren't the police asked to remove two of the	
7	Hamed brothers, Wadda Charriez and myself?	
8	THE REPORTER: Sorry. What was the third	
9	name?	
10	A. No.	
11	MR. HARTMANN: Wadda, W-A-D-D-A; Charriez,	
12	C-H-A-R-I-E-Z.	
13	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Were you there that day?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Did you hear what the police were asked to do?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Did your father say if the police didn't remove	
18	the four of us, he was going to close the store?	
19	A. I don't know the exact exact words. There was	
20	a lot of talking between you guys, my father, and everybody	
21	else. The exact words, I don't know.	
22	Q. Okay. So he called for	
23	A. I I heard Holt say that, though. Telling my	
24	father that I that he's putting guns to their heads and	
25	want to remove and close the store. He was telling my	

1	father that.			
2	Q. Was Joel Holt there when the police arrived?			
3	A. He was there at one point, but I don't know when			
4	the police were there.			
5	Q. Quite a bit later, wasn't it?			
6	A. I don't remember if yeah, he was there later,			
7	yes.			
8	Q. So when the police were called, they were called			
9	by your father. And you said they were called your			
10	father, in his capacity as both an officer of United and			
11	also as partner in the partnership, is that correct?			
12	A. Yes.			
13	Q. Okay. Let's just deal for the moment with United.			
14	Why did United call the police and ask to			
15	have these four individuals removed?			
16	A. I just told you, the police wasn't called for			
17	to remove four people.			
18	Q. Your father your father said to a police			
19	officer, I want these four people removed, or I will shut			
20	this store right now, didn't he?			
21	A. I don't remember the exact word that he said. I			
22	know he called the police for Wadda Charriez.			
23	Q. Okay.			
24	A. Because he terminated her employment at Plaza			
25	Extra because of falsifying hours.			

- Q. Okay. So it's -- so it's your testimony, as you sit here today, that you don't recall your father saying that he wanted Mafi Hamed removed from the premises, and arrested?
- A. I'm not sure. I -- I'm not sure if I did hear that or not.
- Q. Okay. And you don't remember your father saying he wanted me removed from the premises or arrested?
- A. I'm not sure. I -- I know the reason that the police was called is to remove Wadda Charriez because she came into work and she was told she's terminated.
 - Q. And Wadda Charriez is whom?
 - A. Wadda Charriez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. Who is she? What position does she hold?
- A. What position? She is -- she called herself office manager. I mean, I -- in all the three stores, we don't have office managers, but somehow she called herself office manager.
- What she does, she does payroll and some -- and some accounting and day-to-day work for the store.
- Q. Okay. And when you say "for the stores," you mean for Plaza Extra Supermarkets, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And -- and now turning to your father's capacity for calling the police as a partner in the partnership, what

1 was his purpose? Could he -- could he terminate Wadda 2 Charriez without agreement of Mohammad Hamed? 3 Α. Of course. Ο. And why is that? 4 5 Mohammad Hamed gives him -- he's the ultimate Α. person over in charge of all three stores. 6 7 And if Mohammad Hamed said, No, I don't want her fired, or his agent said that to you, that means nothing, is 8 that correct? 9 10 That mean nothing. Α. Because -- because -- because Mohammad Hamed is 11 Ο. 12 not a partner? 13 No, Mohammad Hamed give my father the full Α. authority over everybody. 14 15 0. Could the --He runs the office. 16 Α. 17 Ο. Could you --He runs the three Plaza Extra stores. He manages 18 Α. the three Plaza Extra stores with us. 19 20 Do you think that -- that he continued to give Q. that authority to your father even after he filed a lawsuit 21 22 claiming your father stoled \$2.7 million? 2.3 Α. My father stoled \$2.7 million? 2.4 Didn't he file a lawsuit that says that? Q.

I think there's a ledger there that has Mohammad

25

Α.

1 Hamed on there. Didn't Mohammad Hamed steal that? 2 0. You mean Fathi Yusuf steal? 3 Α. Mohammad Hamed. Didn't he -- did he steal two point -- did Mohammad and Waleed Hamed steal \$2.9 million? 4 5 Or let me say \$4 million? 6 I'm asking the following question: Your father 7 and Mohammad Hamed were in a partnership together. Your father filed a lawsuit seeking to have him declared a 8 partner, stating that the basis for the lawsuit was because 9 10 your father had illegally and improperly removed \$2.7 million from the store accounts. 11 12 Α. He did not illegally. 13 He alleged that. I'm not saying he did it or Ο. 14 you're admitting it. I'm just saying his partner filed a 15 lawsuit against him saying he took \$2.7 million, right? 16 You know that there was a lawsuit filed? 17 Α. Yes. Yes. 18 Q. Right. Do you think that after he said that, your 19 father still gave him the power to do anything he wanted? 20 Α. His father testified here yesterday. 21 22 MR. HODGES: Objection. Wait a minute. 2.3 Objection. This is a -- a United deposition, 2.4 am I -- am I right? 25 MR. HARTMANN: Yeah.

MR. HODGES: This is not United issues. It's none of the issues that are addressed in your -- your schedule. This is a partnership issue.

- Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. You can answer.
- A. I'm going to answer that. I am going to answer that. \$2.7 million was showed to Mohammad Hamed. He didn't know anything about it, because his son refused to show him what -- what it's all about. What Fathi did, or Mr. Yusuf did, my father? Why is that? His agent is not carrying -- carrying his duties to his father as a partner.
- Q. My question is, was it not clear to everybody that was involved in the -- in the partnership that after

 Mohammad Hamed filed a lawsuit seeking to be declared the partner, and stating as the basis for that \$2.7 million that had been taken, that he no longer acquiesced to Fathi Yusuf doing whatever he wanted?

Was that not clear to you?

- A. That was still clear that Mr. Yusuf was still in charge, and up to today, he's still in charge.
 - Q. He is today, even after the entry of the TRO?
 - A. Yes, he is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Q. Okay. Good.

Next question on the list. Twenty-nine, The running of the business operations in the office of the grocery store business, including but not limited to how and

1 by whom accountants were hired; how and by whom tax 2 preparation was done; how and by whom securing licenses and 3 trade names was done. 4 Who -- who obtained the preparation of tax 5 documents for the corporation? Who inside the corporation arranged for tax documents to be done? 6 7 MR. HODGES: Which -- objection. Over what period of time? 8 9 Ο. (Mr. Hartmann) You can answer. 10 Which tax preparation? If it's -- if there are different people at 11 Ο. 12 different times, explain to me who it was. I'm only asking you who secured tax preparation for the firm, for 13 14 Plaza Extra Supermarkets or United Corporation? 15 Tax preparation should be --MR. HODGES: I don't -- could you ask the 16 17 question again? He's -- please? 18 MR. HARTMANN: Yeah. Who -- yeah, certainly. (Mr. Hartmann) Who within United Corporation --19 Ο. 20 United Corporation has had -- has had taxes prepared from 1979 to present, right? 21 22 Α. Uh-huh. 23 Okay. And you've been the president of United Q. 2.4 Corporation from when to when? I don't recall when. 25 Α.

1 Q. From 1992 on? 2 Α. I don't recall. 3 0. Ninety-three on? 4 Α. I don't know. 5 Were you the president in '95? Q. 6 Α. I should have been, yeah. 7 Okay. So let's take from '95 on. Who obtained 0. 8 the preparation of taxes for the -- for United Corporation 9 in 1995? I -- I know we had Pablo O'Neill was the 10 accountant back then. 11 Okay. And who -- who dealt with Pablo O'Neill? 12 Q. 13 MR. HODGES: I would object. 14 That wasn't his question. He's -- he's 15 asking, who in United obtained the tax preparation? 16 MR. HARTMANN: That's true. I'm sorry. I 17 did. (Mr. Hartmann) Who in United had the interaction 18 0. with Pablo O'Neill? 19 20 Oh. My -- Mr. Yusuf. Α. With regard to the tax --21 Q. 22 Α. My father. 23 Q. Fathi Yusuf? 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 And that was true in 1994? Q.

1 Α. That's all the years. 2 0. All the years. 3 Α. Yes. Okay. And did he obtain the tax preparation 4 Ο. 5 for -- for the individuals, as well? In other words, did you prepare your own taxes, or was that done by Pablo 6 7 O'Neill, as well? That was done with by whoever -- whoever 8 Α. accountant my father hired. 9 10 Okay. And -- and were the taxes for those individuals such as yourself and the others, was that paid 11 12 by Plaza Extra Supermarkets out of the operating -- the net 13 profit operating accounts? 14 That was the agreement that Mr. Hamed has with 15 Mr. Yusuf. 16 Q. Okay. And so, and that's been for all the years, 17 you testified? That's been for all the years to present. 18 Α. 19 Ο. Okay. And -- and did Fathi Yusuf arrange for the 20 preparation of the 2002 to 2012 tax forms? That was in the -- the time of the federal case. 21 Α. 22 I'm not going to answer that. 2.3 Q. Okay. And -- and could you tell me the name of 2.4 the CPA firm, without disclosing to me what they told you or

what went back and forth between you, the name of the CPA,

25

```
1
      any CPA firm that worked for -- that worked with regard to
 2
      the preparation of taxes from 2002 to 2012?
 3
                     MR. HODGES: Objection.
                I can't say anything.
 4
           Α.
 5
                     MR. HODGES: Objection. Attorney-client/work
      product privilege.
 6
 7
                     MR. HARTMANN: Only asking -- only asking who
      they were.
 8
                     MR. HODGES: Objection?
 9
                I'm not going to answer that.
10
           Α.
                     MR. HODGES: Attorney-client/work product
11
12
     privilege. I'm instructing the witness not to answer.
13
                     MR. HARTMANN: Okay. We have to go
14
      off tape now.
15
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 2:30.
16
                               (Respite.)
17
                     THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on record at
      2:32.
18
                (Mr. Hartmann) Mr. Yusuf, I asked you, you said
19
           Q.
      previously that you're not an accountant and that there's --
20
      there's someone who was hired and is going to testify in
21
22
      this case, in fact, Mr. Gaffney, is that correct?
2.3
           Α.
                Yes.
2.4
                Okay. And approximately what date was Mr. Gaffney
           Q.
25
     hired?
```

1 Α. Sometime in late 2012. 2 Ο. Late 2012? 3 Α. I believe so, yeah. 4 Q. Okay. And Mr. Gaffney has supplied, at my 5 request, copies of the computer-based accounting records for 2012 and 2013, has he not? From United? 6 7 Α. Repeat that again. 8 Q. Mr. Gaffney has supplied to the plaintiff, --9 Α. Uh-huh. 10 -- to me, computerized records for the Plaza Extra Q. Supermarkets' accounting for 2012 and 2013, has he not? 11 12 Α. I believe, according to the agreement you had with 13 him, that on a monthly basis, he gets --14 Okay. And -- and are you aware that we have, the 0. 15 plaintiff has also asked United Corporation to supply the --16 those same financial records for the years prior to 2012? 17 Α. No. Okay. Do you know where the accounting records --18 0. this is, by the way, Topics 35 and 36 -- do you know where 19 the records are for the years before 2012? 20 The records should be in one of the stores, I 21 Α. 22 believe, in St. Thomas --2.3 Q. Okay. 2.4 -- or St. Croix. I'm not sure. Α.

Can you, if they do exist somewhere, could you

25

Q.

1 supply them to your counsel so they could be supplied to me? 2 I think my -- I think counsel has supplied 3 everything to you guys that we have in our possession. Okay. If they have not been supplied, can you 4 Ο. 5 supply them to him so he can supply them to me? 6 MR. HODGES: I'm sorry, Counsel. 7 distracted. What are the records you're looking for? 8 MR. HARTMANN: These are the -- these are the -- we've been supplied the 2012 and 2013 records which 9 10 are reconstituted by John Gaffney on -- on computer. We've also asked for the -- the financial, those same accounting 11 12 records, although they're probably -- well, I don't want to 13 say that -- those same accounting records for the years 14 before 2012. 15 Α. Can I --(Discussion held off the record.) 16 17 MR. HODGES: Okay. Go ahead. 18 MR. HARTMANN: Okay. 19 MR. HODGES: I'm sorry. 20 Q. (Mr. Hartmann) So if they have not yet been supplied, will you supply those records to your counsel for 21 22 supplying to us? 2.3 Α. We never practiced that in the -- in the past. 2.4 MR. HODGES: If -- if they're in our 25 possession -- and you've asked for them?

1	MR. HARTMANN: Yes.			
2	MR. HODGES: Okay.			
3	MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Thank you.			
4	Q. (Mr. Hodges) Can you tell me what bank accounts			
5	United Corporation has at present?			
6	A. No, I can't.			
7	$oldsymbol{Q}$. Could you get that information and supply it to			
8	your counsel?			
9	A. The information was it's listed, and I saw it			
LO	several times in it's the same accounts that I see going			
L1	back and forth in all these all these documents back and			
L2	forth.			
L3	Q. For instance, in the complaint, there's a list of			
L4	the accounts.			
L5	A. Yeah, that's the accounts.			
L 6	Q. Okay.			
L7	A. The accounts, we never add or change any accounts.			
L8	The same accounts for from since			
L 9	Q. Okay.			
20	A for a long time now.			
21	Q. So so it's your testimony that that			
22	United Corporation neither has nor has opened nor has closed			
23	any accounts since you were the president?			
24	A. I can't say since I was the president.			
25	Q. Okay.			

1 Α. Since I was the president, we opened accounts and 2 we closed accounts. 3 0. Okav. 4 Α. The Hameds are aware of it. 5 Okay. Q. 6 Of each account opened and closed. Α. 7 Okay. Have any -- have any bank accounts been Ο. opened or closed in the last three years --8 9 Α. I don't believe so, no. 10 Q. -- for United? I don't think so. 11 Α. 12 Q. Okay. Have any investment accounts been opened or closed for United in the last three years? 13 I don't -- I don't -- I don't -- I can't -- no, I 14 15 don't recall. I don't think so. Three years? I don't --16 Could you -- could you check that and inform your Q. 17 counsel? 18 Α. Yeah. Yeah. Okay. Aside from the three parcels of property 19 Ο. that were discussed at the preliminary injunction hearing 20 that were purchased by United, has United purchased any 21 22 other real property within the last three years? 2.3 Α. No, I don't think so. 2.4 If -- will you please check on that, and if there Q.

turn out to be any that you haven't recalled today, supply

25

1 the identification of those to your counsel? 2 Α. Sure. 3 Ο. Thank you. 4 MR. HARTMANN: And, Counsel, will you supply 5 that to us? 6 MR. HODGES: All right. I'm sorry. You'll 7 have to tell me again. 8 MR. HARTMANN: He's going to supply you with any bank accounts, investment accounts, or real property. 9 10 Oh, real property? No. Α. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. Within the last --11 Ο. 12 Α. You said property in general. I -- I was thinking if I bought -- I know I bought a pool for my kids, so I --13 14 Oh, no. I'm sorry. Ο. 15 Α. -- wasn't sure if that's what you were looking 16 for. 17 Q. Okay. MR. HODGES: Investment accounts of -- of 18 whom? 19 20 MR. HARTMANN: Of United. 21 MR. HODGES: Okay. 22 MR. HARTMANN: Bank accounts, investment 23 accounts and real property. 2.4 Α. Wait, when you say "property," tell me what it is? 25 MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Thank you.

1	A. No, there's nothing been opened, or real property			
2	or anything, no.			
3	Q. (Mr. Hartmann) Okay. And aside from the mattress			
4	company that you identified today as being owned by			
5	United Corporation, are there any other corporations or			
6	businesses in which United has acquired any interest within			
7	the last three years?			
8	A. No.			
9	Q. And do I take it that any interest that anybody			
10	might hold in Seaside are held outside of United?			
11	I just want to make sure.			
12	A. Are we here for United?			
13	Q. Yeah. I'm just asking whether United has any			
14	interest in it?			
15	A. I'm not going to comment on that.			
16	Q. You have to.			
17	A. You already asked me to to I give you a list,			
18	right?			
19	Q. Yeah.			
20	A. United has nothing to do with Seaside.			
21	Q. Okay. That's fine.			
22	And do you know of any withdrawal or use of			

Cheryl L. Haase (340) 773-8161

funds of Plaza Extra Supermarkets that is not accurately and

fully reflected in the financial statements that have been

supplied to us by Mr. Gaffney for the years 2012 and 2013?

23

24

25

1	Do you want a read-back?	
2	A. Yeah, please.	
3	Q. Okay.	
4	A. I lost you because your head was facing off	•
5	Q. Do you know of any financial transactions	
6	involving Plaza Extra funds that are not reflected in the	
7	financial statements provided to us for the years 2012 and	
8	2013 by Mr. Gaffney?	
9	A. I can't answer that. I'm not an accountant	
10	Q. Do you know of any?	
11	A. I don't.	
12	Q. Okay. And the "you" here is United Corpora	ation.
13	You do not United knows of no I'll just ask it in a	
14	very simple way.	
15	Does United Corporation know of any off-books	
16	transactions?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. Involving Plaza Extra funds	
19	A. No.	
20	Q in the last three years?	
21	A. No.	
22	MR. HARTMANN: Okay. Okay. Plaintiff	has no
23	further questions of the witness.	
24	THE WITNESS: Can I can I say some	things?
25	(Discussion held off the record.)	

```
1
                      MR. HARTMANN: Your -- your counsel can allow
 2
      you to --
 3
                     MR. HODGES: No questions or comments.
 4
                     MR. HARTMANN: Okay.
 5
                      THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at 2:42.
 6
                   (Whereupon the deposition concluded
 7
                               at 2:42 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

П

I, CHERYL L. HAASE, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public No. NP-158-03 for the U.S. Virgin Islands, Christiansted, St. Croix, do hereby certify that the above and named witness, MAHER "MIKE" YUSUF, was first duly sworn to testify the truth; that said witness did thereupon testify as is set forth; that the answers of said witness to the oral interrogatories propounded by counsel were taken by me in Stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewriting under

C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

I further certify that the facts stated in the caption hereto are true; and that all of the proceedings in the course of the hearing of said deposition are correctly and accurately set forth herein.

my personal direction and supervision.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney or relative of either party, nor financially or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand as such Certified Court Reporter on this the 25th day of April, 2014, at Christiansted, St. Croix, United States Virgin Islands.

24 Cheryl L. Haase, RPR

My Commission Expires 2/10/16